

# Nursing: Critical Care

## Five Things Nurses and Patients Should Question

by

Canadian Nurses Association

Canadian Association of Critical Care Nurses

Last updated: July 2020



### 1 Don't insert or leave in place a urinary catheter without an acceptable indication.

While it's common to insert indwelling urinary catheters for critical care patients, prolonged use can lead to catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI), urosepsis, increased hospital stays and other complications. Although critical illness can be a legitimate indication for urinary catheter use, daily assessment of urinary catheters is recommended. Some evidence indicates that reminder systems or stop orders in critical care settings can reduce the incidence of CAUTI and catheter duration.

### 2 Don't administer benzodiazepines to treat symptoms of delirium.

The treatment of delirium is multifactorial, including environmental stimulation, ongoing mobilization and family presence. Guidelines recommend against using benzodiazepines for sedation, unless otherwise indicated (e.g., withdrawal related to alcohol or benzodiazepine use). The inappropriate administration of benzodiazepines may harm a critically ill patient by inadvertently increasing the incidence of delirium or the length of stay in an ICU. Nonpharmacologic strategies should be used, along with monitoring, assessing and treating pain. Preliminary research has shown that implementing nurse-driven daily awakening protocols and best practice bundles such as ABCDE may improve outcomes, including decreases in length of overall hospital stay, ventilator days and risk of ICU-acquired delirium.

### 3 Don't use physical restraints with critically ill patients as the first choice to prevent self-extubation or removal of lines or tubes.

The intention to use physical restraints to prevent self-extubation or accidental removal of lines or tubes is often misguided. In fact, some research has found restraints have the potential to cause harm to critically ill patients, including complications but not limited to unplanned extubation, increased risk for delirium, and prolonged recovery. The use of physical restraints in ICU patients in Canada is common and significantly higher comparable to some European countries. Guidelines recognize the paucity of evidence to substantiate the use of physical restraints as an effective strategy. The use of physical restraints can be minimized by maintaining direct visual observation of patients, permitting the presence of family care partners, initiating spontaneous awakening and breathing trials (to support removal of endotracheal tube and thus reduce need for restraints), and assessing delirium and the need for mobilization. Decreased use of physical restraints is an important indicator of quality nursing care.

### 4 Don't repeatedly attempt intravenous access during a life-threatening event when intraosseous access is available.

In emergency situations, intravenous (IV) access can be difficult to obtain. Nurses often lose time trying to insert peripheral IVs, and insertion of central venous catheters may be initiated. However, intraosseous (IO) access is a faster and safer option, with less chance of complications, when inserted by trained personnel.

### 5 Don't prolong use of central venous or peripherally inserted central catheters without daily reassessment.

Central venous or peripherally inserted central catheters require close monitoring for signs of central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI) and should be reviewed daily during multidisciplinary rounds to ensure the appropriateness of the catheter and its intended use. Peripheral intravenous catheters should be assessed daily and removed if they are not part of the continued plan of care or the lumen remains dormant for greater than 24 hours. Unless medically necessary for parenteral nutrition or vasoactive support, the strategies to mitigate CLABSI in central venous access should include considering an access device that is the least invasive with the greatest likelihood of reaching the end of the planned therapy with the lowest rate of replacements and complications.

## How the list was created

The Canadian Nurses Association (CNA) and the Canadian Association of Critical Care Nurses (CACCN) established its Choosing Wisely Canada nursing list by convening an 11-member nursing working group (NWG). The group consisted of critical care nursing experts from across Canada, representing a broad range of geographical regions and practice settings. The NWG began considering its list by reviewing existing recommendations, including items from Choosing Wisely Canada's specialty societies and the American Academy of Nursing (AAN) Choosing Wisely list, both of which had already undergone rigorous evidence reviews. In addition, members brought forward recommendations on new evidence-based items. The NWG appraised 331 items for their relevance to critical care nursing using a structured process developed for this work. Each of these items (302 Choosing Wisely Canada items, 25 AAN Choosing Wisely items and 4 independently submitted items) was appraised by two working group members and then validated by the group. Using a modified Delphi process for the next two rounds of revision, the group refined and adapted 14 items until it reached consensus on a final six-item list. A literature review was conducted to confirm the evidence for these items, with support from the Canadian Agency of Drugs & Technologies (CADTH) and supporting nursing research was added where appropriate. The list subsequently underwent extensive consultation, with input from nursing experts in patient safety, members of the Canadian Network of Nursing Specialties, patient advocates, CNA jurisdictional members, CNA nurses, principal nurse advisors, CADTH and Choosing Wisely Canada's internal clinician reviewers. In March of 2020, the Choosing Wisely Canada critical care nursing list was presented to the CNA Board of Directors, who gave it their full endorsement and support.

## Sources

- 1 American Association of Critical-Care Nurses. [Prevention of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections in Adults](#). [Internet]. 2015 [cited March 2019]. Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology. [APIC implementation guide: guide to preventing catheter-associated urinary tract infections](#) [Internet]. 2014 Apr [cited 2016 Oct 14]. Canadian Patient Safety Institute. [Hospital Harm Improvement Resource: Urinary Tract Infection](#) [Internet]. 2016 Apr. Chant, C., Smith, O., Marshall, J., Friedrich, J. Relationship of catheter-associated urinary tract infection to mortality and length of stay in critically ill patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2011;39(5):1167-1173. [PMID: 21242789](#). Colli J, et al. National trends in hospitalization from indwelling urinary catheter complications, 2001-2010. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2014 Feb;46(2):303-8. [PMID: 23934618](#). Lo, E, et al. Strategies to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infections in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2014 Sep;35 Suppl 2:S32-47. [PMID: 25376068](#). Meddings J., Rogers M., Macy M., Siant, S. Systematic review and meta-analysis: reminder systems to reduce catheter-associated urinary tract infections and urinary catheter use in hospitalized patients. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2010 Sept;51(5):5: 550-560. doi: 10.1086/655133 [PMID: 20673003](#). Miller BL, et al. A multimodal intervention to reduce urinary catheter use and associated infection at a Veterans Affairs Medical Center. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2013 Jun;34(6):631-3. [PMID: 23651896](#). Ramanathan R, et al. Urinary tract infections in surgical patients. *Surg Clin North Am*. 2014 Dec; 94(6): 1351-68. [PMID: 25440128](#).
- 2 American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on Postoperative Delirium in Older Adults. American Geriatrics Society Abstracted Clinical Practice Guideline for Postoperative Delirium in Older Adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*; 2015 Jan;63(1):142-50. [PMID: 25495432](#). American Geriatrics Society 2015 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society 2015 Updated Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*.; 2015 Nov;63(11):2227-46. [PMID: 26446832](#). Barr J, Fraser GL, Puntillo K, Ely EW, Gélinas C, Dasta JF, Davidson JE, Devlin JW, Kress JP, Joffe AM, Coursin DB, Herr DL, Tung A, Robinson BR, Fontaine DK, Ramsay MA, Riker RR, Sessler CN, Pun B, Skrobik Y, Jaeschke R; American College of Critical Care Medicine. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of pain, agitation, and delirium in adult patients in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med*. 2013 Jan;41(1):263-306. [PMID: 23269131](#). Campbell N, Boustani MA, Ayub A, Fox GC, Munger SL, Ott C, Guzman O, Farber M, Ademuyiwa A, Singh R. Pharmacological management of delirium in hospitalized adults—a systematic evidence review. *J Gen Intern Med*; 2009 Jul;24(7):848-53. [PMID: 19424763](#). The American Geriatrics Society 2019 Beers Criteria® Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society 2019 updated AGS Beers Criteria® for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*; 2019;67(4):674-94. [PMID: 30693946](#).
- 3 Alberta Health Services. [Restraint as a last resort – Critical care](#) [Internet]. 2018 Feb. Da Silva et al. Unplanned endotracheal extubations in the intensive care Unit: Systematic review, critical appraisal, and evidence-based recommendations. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*. 2012;114(5). [PMID: 22366845](#). Devlin J. W., et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of pain, agitation/sedation, delirium, immobility, and sleep disruption in adult patients in the ICU. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2018;46(9): e825-e873. [PMID: 30113379](#). Luk E, et al. Predictors of physical restraint use in Canadian intensive care units. *Critical Care*. 2014 Mar;18(2):R46. [PMID: 24661688](#). Maccioli GA, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the maintenance of patient physical safety in the intensive care unit: Use of restraining therapies—American College of Critical Care Medicine Task Force 2001-2002. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2003;31(11): 2665-2676. [PMID: 14605540](#). Minnick AF, et al. Prevalence and variation of physical restraint use in acute care settings in the US. *J Nurs Scholarsh*. 2007;39(1):30-7. [PMID: 17393963](#). [Physical Restraints for the Prevention of Self-Extubation or Line or Tube Removal in Critically Ill Patients: Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines](#). Ottawa: CADTH; 2019 May. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts).
- 4 Dolister M, et al. Intraosseous vascular access is safe, effective and costs less than central venous catheters for patients in the hospital setting. *Journal of Vascular Access*. 2013 Jul-Sep;14(3): 216-224. [PMID: 23283646](#). Leidel B, et al. [Is the intraosseous access route fast and efficacious compared to conventional central venous catheterization in adult patients under resuscitation in the emergency department? A prospective observational pilot study](#). *Patient Safety in Surgery*. 2009 Oct;3(24). Perron C. [Intraosseous infusion](#) [Internet]. 2017. Petitpas F, et al. [Use of intra-osseous access in adults: A systematic review](#). *Critical Care*. 2016;20(102). Phillips L, et al. Recommendations for the use of intraosseous vascular access for emergent and nonemergent situations in various health care settings: A consensus paper. *Crit Care Nurse*. 2010;30(6): e1-e7. [PMID: 21078467](#).

- 5 Alberta Health Services. [Calgary health region: Central vascular catheter \(CVC\) management protocol](#). 2007.
- Canadian Patient Safety Institute. [Central line-associated bloodstream infection \(CLABSI\): Getting started kit](#) [Internet]. 2012.
- Chopra V, et al. The Michigan appropriateness guide for intravenous catheters (MAGIC): Results from a multispecialty panel using the RAND/UCLA appropriateness method. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2015;163(6 Suppl): S1-S40. [PMID: 26369828](#).
- Gorski LA, et al. Infusion therapy standards of practice. *Journal of Infusion Nursing*. 2016;39(1S): S1-S159. [PMID: 27922994](#).
- Velasquez Reyes DC, et al. Prevention of central venous line associated bloodstream infections in adult intensive care units: A systematic review. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*. 2017;43: 12–22. [PMID: 28663107](#).

---

### About the Canadian Nurses Association

CNA represents registered nurses from ten provincial and territorial nursing associations and colleges, independent registered nurse members from Ontario and Quebec and retired registered nurses from across the country. CNA advances the practice and profession of nursing to improve health outcomes and strengthen Canada's publicly funded, not-for-profit health system.



### About the Canadian Association of Critical Care Nurses

The Canadian Association of Critical Care Nurses (CACCN) is a volunteer organization of Critical Care Nurses. CACCN is the voice for excellence in Canadian critical care nursing. Our shared goal is promote quality patient- and family-centered care for Canadian's experiencing life threatening illness and injury.



---

### About Choosing Wisely Canada

Choosing Wisely Canada is a campaign to help physicians and patients engage in conversations about unnecessary tests, treatments and procedures, and to help physicians and patients make smart and effective choices to ensure high-quality care.

🌐 [ChoosingWiselyCanada.org](http://ChoosingWiselyCanada.org) | ✉ [info@ChoosingWiselyCanada.org](mailto:info@ChoosingWiselyCanada.org) | 🐦 [@ChooseWiselyCA](https://twitter.com/ChooseWiselyCA) | 📺 [/ChoosingWiselyCanada](https://www.facebook.com/ChoosingWiselyCanada)