























































































supplies, connecting clients to help, providing clients with a sense of support and helping them to change their substance use and health behaviours.

### ***Opioid overdose prevention strategies***

Opioid overdose deaths often occur in the presence of other people (Marlatt & Witkiewitz, 2010) and can therefore be prevented. Naloxone, known as an opioid antagonist, is able to temporarily reverse the effects of an opioid overdose<sup>16</sup> by having a greater affinity for opioid receptors in the central nervous system. Naloxone is relatively inexpensive substance with no potential for abuse and, historically, has been generally available in health-care facilities and administered by health-care providers. In 2012, a community-based pilot program gathered data on naloxone that was used to reverse opioid overdose (Dong et al., 2012). The study reported no adverse reactions or fatalities after naloxone use, indicating its potential to reduce morbidity and mortality. Community-based naloxone programs have also shown promising outcomes in other jurisdictions, including B.C., where a take-home naloxone program has operated since 2012 (Deonaraine, Amlani, Ambrose, & Buxton, 2016). Statistics from *Toward the Heart* show that, as of mid-May 2017, B.C.'s take-home naloxone program distributed nearly 43,000 kits, with 8,236 being used to reverse an opioid overdose (Toward the Heart, 2017).

Initially in the U.S., and now Canada, we see an increase in the number of programs that provide education for people who use substances on how to prevent an overdose (including its signs and symptoms) and for their peers on how to administer naloxone. Overdose prevention education includes information on early intervention and can be an important aspect of peer education.

In 2016, Health Canada set out to make naloxone more widely available to Canadians in support of efforts to address the growing number of opioid overdoses. Its proposed amendment to the prescription drug list would allow for a non-prescription use of naloxone, specifically for emergency opioid overdoses outside the hospital setting. Health Canada also suggested simpler product labelling and compulsory training for those who would potentially administer naloxone. If the evidence supports these amendments, Health Canada intends "to waive the usual six-month implementation period [to enable a] change in status . . . as quickly as possible" (Health Canada, 2016, para. 4).

### ***Methadone use as an opioid agonist therapy***

As a type of opioid agonist therapy, methadone is a widely studied treatment, proven to be safe and effective, for opiate addiction. In 2007, methadone was added to the WHO Model List of Essential Medicines (WHO, 2015). Methadone can be used to relieve withdrawal symptoms during detoxification, or it can be used as a maintenance

---

<sup>16</sup> Depending on the amount of opioid in the person's system, multiple doses of naloxone may be required.











supervised injection sites receive education on safer injection, which can also reduce HIV risk behaviours (Wood, Tyndall, Stoltz, Small, Zhang, et al., 2005). At Insite, one in three users of the facility received education on safer injection (Wood, Tyndall, Stoltz, Small, Zhang, et al., 2005). Nurses play a key role in such education and are reaching almost half the users at this facility (Wood, Wood, et al., 2008).

---

*A survey found that 75 per cent of Insite clients reported changes in their injecting behaviours, including fewer rushed injections, public injections and unsafe syringe disposal, and a greater likelihood of using clean water, a clean injection site and proper syringe disposal after use.*

---

### **INCREASED ACCESS TO HEALTH AND ADDICTION CARE**

Evaluations of supervised injection sites in Sydney, Australia, and Vancouver included assessments of staff referrals as indicators of increasing contact between site users and health service providers. At the Vancouver site, Tyndall et al. (2006) reported 2,171 referrals between March 2004 and April 2005, the most frequent (37 per cent) being referrals for addiction counselling. Other referrals by Insite nurses were to community health centres (16 per cent), hospital emergency departments (11.3 per cent), detoxification facilities (11.7 per cent), other community services (9.4 per cent), housing services (9.0 per cent), methadone maintenance programs (3.7 per cent) and recovery house programs (2.7 per cent). It is not known how many clients made contact with the agencies they were referred to. In a further analysis of Insite data, Wood, Tyndall, Zhang, et al. (2006) reported that weekly use of the supervised injection site and contact with the site's addictions counsellor were associated with a more rapid entry into detoxification programs. After analyzing data linked to residential treatment databases, Wood, Tyndall, Zhang, Montaner and Kerr (2007) reported that Insite's opening was associated with a 30 per cent increase in use of detoxification services which, in turn, was associated with initiating longer-term treatment and less frequent use of the supervised injection site. In an evaluation of the Sydney supervised injection site, van Beek (2003) reported that of more than 1,800 referrals to health and social services in the first two years 44 per cent were for substance treatment and rehabilitation services, and 31 per cent were for nearby primary medical care services. A retrospective, population-based study on Insite's effect on overdose fatalities (Marshall, Milloy, Wood, Montaner, & Kerr, 2011) found a 35 per cent decrease in local fatalities after the site opened, compared to a 9 per cent decrease in the rest of Vancouver.

---

*Among Insite's improvements to public disorder are (1) less frequent public injecting; (2) reductions in the public discarding of syringes and other substance-related paraphernalia; and (3) having no observed increase in substance dealing in the area around the site.*

---

## **IMPROVING PUBLIC ORDER**

The impact of supervised consumption sites on public order has been studied in relation to the frequency of open public injection, littering and loitering, substance-related crime and substance use in the community. Over 40 peer-reviewed articles evaluating Insite have shown that its harm reduction program has had a positive effect — both for those who use the facility and for the surrounding community. Among the site's improvements to public disorder are (1) less frequent public injecting; (2) reductions in the public discarding of syringes and other substance-related paraphernalia; and (3) having no observed increase in substance dealing in the area around the site (Wood, Kerr, et al., 2004; Wood, Tyndall, Lai, Montaner, & Kerr, 2006). At the Sydney facility, a time-series analysis (Freeman et al., 2005) found no evidence of a positive or negative impact on substance-related crime and no increase in substance-related loitering near the site. A survey of people who used supervised consumption sites in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, Van der Poel, Barendregt and van de Mheen (2003) reported less frequent public substance use and some decrease in substance use. Similarly, Petrar et al. (2007) found that 71 per cent of Insite users injected less often outdoors, and 56 per cent reported less unsafe syringe disposal. Factors which limited the use of the facility included having to travel to Insite, its limited operating hours (18 hours/day) and wait times for access to the site. While a survey of 39 substance consumption rooms in the Netherlands, Germany, Spain and Switzerland (Kimber, Dolan, & Wodak, 2005) found six facilities with increased substance dealing in the vicinity (two of which also reported a higher frequency of petty crime or aggressive incidents among clients), Hedrich (2004) has noted that such problems seem more likely to occur when the service is not meeting local needs (e.g., when wait times are long or when the service lacks the capacity to monitor activity outside the site).

## **PROFESSIONAL AND PUBLIC OPINION**

Results from a stratified random sample of Ontario residents suggested that “individuals who support other harm reduction strategies, more liberal substance policies and who view illicit substance users as deserving of social and health assistance, are significantly more likely to support SIFs [supervised injection facilities] and HAT [heroin-assisted therapy]” (Cruz, Patra, Fischer, Rehm, & Kalousek, 2007, p. 59). In both Canada and Australia, public opinion concerning the sites has been found to be positive (Angus Reid Institute, 2010; Salmon, Thein, Kimber, Kaldor, & Maher, 2007). Numerous health professionals and community organizations have endorsed Insite, including the B.C. Nurses' Union, the Canadian Medical Association, CNA, and the College of Family Physicians of Canada (B.C. Nurses' Union, 2008; Canadian Medical Association, 2010; Dooling & Rachlis, 2010; Hwang, 2007; National Specialty Society for Community Medicine, 2009; Smadu, 2008).

---

*Numerous health professionals and community organizations have endorsed Insite, including the B.C. Nurses' Union, the Canadian Medical Association, CNA, and the College of Family Physicians of Canada.*

---

### **EXTERNAL EVALUATION OF EVIDENCE FROM INSITE**

In 2008, an external advisory committee of experts appointed by Health Canada (2008b) released a comprehensive review and evaluation of the evidence related to Insite and other supervised injection sites. After its review of published and unpublished research on Insite, as well as evidence on facilities in Australia and certain European countries, the panel concluded that Insite has had a positive impact on the health of the community, the health of the people who use it, residents, service providers and local business owners. They also found strong support for Insite among neighbourhood business owners, service providers and residents, and showed that the facility produced (significant) cost savings for taxpayers, decreased risk behaviours associated with the spread of HIV, reduced the (subsequent) costs of HIV treatment and prevented overdose deaths. In addition, no adverse effects from Insite were found regarding substance use patterns, crime or public disorder. Two limitations of the research, as noted by the expert panel, were the lack of comparison studies on other methods (e.g., outreach) that might increase referrals and the lack of a comparison or control group to assess differences in risk behaviours (e.g., needle sharing).

### **SUPERVISED CONSUMPTION SITE MODELS AND NURSING**

Internationally, there are a range of models for supervised consumption sites, with variations in the hours of operation, staffing, facilities, services and rules (Kimber Dolan, & Wodak, 2005; Potier et al. 2014). On the basis of a survey of 15 drug consumption rooms, Kimber, Dolan and Wodak (2005) reported that social workers were the type of professional most frequently employed at these sites, followed by nurses. In Canada, Insite's injection room is staffed by RNs who provide essential health services "to a highly marginalized population, using a comprehensive nursing framework that is rooted in client-centred relationship building and primary nursing care activities that are guided by a harm reduction philosophy and core principles of health promotion" (Lightfoot et al., 2009, p. 19). Regarding the site in Sydney, van Beek (2004) and Potier et al. (2014) also outlined and highlighted the role of nurses. Although in descriptions of services offered at supervised injection sites nurses' roles centre around wound care and vein maintenance, there is limited evidence on the processes and outcomes of nursing care within such settings. Wood, Wood, et al. (2008) and OHTN (2014) reported that nurses provided almost half of Insite users with education on safer injection. In examining the characteristics of people receiving such education, the authors found that Insite's nurses were most likely to educate those at highest risk (e.g., women and people who had trouble injecting). In Vancouver, the Dr. Peter Centre provides an

integrated model of supervised injection as part of its nursing services (Wood, Zettel, et al., 2003). In evaluating the harm reduction room at the Dr. Peter Centre, Krüsi, Small, Wood and Kerr (2009) found that staff increased client access by building more open and trusting relationships, although shame and a fear of judgment limited some clients' use of the supervised injection service.

---

*The panel concluded that Insite has had a positive impact on the health of the community, the health of the people who use it, residents, service providers and local business owners.*

---

### **Safer crack smoking and supervised inhalation rooms**

Since the 1990s, there have been indications that the prevalence of crack smoking is increasing in both urban and rural settings (Fischer, Rehm, Patra, et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2010). Safer crack kits provide the equipment and hardware for crack smoking, including glass pipes, tubing and lubricant, along with information about harm reduction. Sharing of crack pipes has been associated with increased risk of exposure to HCV and other communicable diseases (Macias et al., 2008; Tortu, McMahon, Pouget, & Hamid, 2004; Tortu, Neaigus, McMahon, & Hagen, 2001). DeBeck et al. (2009) found that smoking crack was an independent risk factor for HIV seroconversion among injection substance users.

Malchy, Bungay and Johnson (2008) found considerable evidence of unsafe crack smoking practices in Vancouver and recommended the implementation of education and programming using safer crack kits to reduce the negative consequences of substance use as part of disease prevention and health promotion programming. Strike et al. (2006) recommended that safer crack use equipment be included in established needle distribution and recovery programs. In an evaluation of programs to distribute safer crack kits, Leonard, DeRubeis and Birkett (2006) found that such distribution was associated with a decrease in risk behaviours associated with transmission of HIV and HCV. They concluded that distributing safer crack-smoking materials to crack smokers contributes to smokers' transition to safer methods of substance consumption and significantly reduces disease-related risk practices. Larger-scale studies and systematic evaluations are needed to determine the effectiveness of safer crack kits in reducing disease transmission and modifying risk behaviours. Similar to needle distribution and recovery programs, safer crack kit programs could have the potential to facilitate access to other harm reduction, health and social services. More recently, the case has been made to expand supervised injection sites to include supervised consumption (Collins et al., 2005) to reduce some of the harms associated with crack smoking.



## **Housing First**

Housing programs often require abstinence from substance use as a condition of housing (Tsemberis, Gulcur, & Nakae, 2004). In contrast, Housing First programs place people who are homeless directly into housing without requiring their involvement in substance use treatment (Padgett, 2007). Harm reduction is therefore one of its key principles. At the same time, Housing First is often combined with intensive health services provided by assertive community treatment teams.

Evaluations of Housing First programs have found that participants achieved increased housing tenure, with at least 70 per cent remaining housed for four years or longer. In addition, participants in Housing First programs experienced no increase in substance use, psychiatric hospitalizations or acute care hospital use, and they perceive themselves as having greater choice (Gulcur, Stefancic, Shinn, Tsemberis, & Fischer, 2003; Padgett, Gulcur, & Tsemberis, 2006; Stefancic & Tsemberis, 2007; Tsemberis & Eisenberg, 2000; Tsemberis et al., 2004). An identified research limitation on Housing First programs is that the majority of study participants were recruited on the basis of a severe mental health diagnosis and appear to have had limited problematic substance use or addiction issues (Kertesz, Crouch, Milby, Cusimano, & Schumacher, 2009).

---

*Housing First programs place people who are homeless directly into housing without requiring their involvement in substance use treatment. Harm reduction is therefore one of its key principles. At the same time, Housing First is often combined with intensive health services provided by assertive community treatment teams.*

---

In an analysis of the health, social and policing service costs of Housing First programs, Larimer et al. (2009) found that participants had decreased their alcohol use (in comparison with a control group), the costs for those with high health and social service usage were reduced. Using a before-and-after design, Podymow, Turnbull, Coyle, Yetisir and Wells (2006) found that a shelter-based-managed alcohol program led to decreasing trends in the mean number of ambulance calls, emergency room visits, hospital admissions and police encounters, which also lowered service costs for those in the program. In 2014, the Mental Health Commission of Canada (MHCC) launched its At Home/Chez Soi program to examine Housing First as a means of ending homelessness for people living with mental illness. In following more than 2,000 participants over a two-year period, it became the world's largest Housing First trial. Key findings showed that participants "across all cities . . . obtained housing and retained their housing at a much higher rate" than those in the treatment-as-usual group (MHCC, 2014, p. 5). Most "were actively engaged in support and treatment through to the end of [the followup period, while many] with previously unmet needs were able to access appropriate and needed services

during the study” (p. 5). Other outcomes included more positive housing stability, quality of life and community functioning (MHCC, 2014).

## **Criticisms of harm reduction**

Harm reduction as a response to illicit substance use has been the focus of considerable controversy, and numerous criticisms of harm reduction have emerged (Christie, Groarke, & Sweet, 2008; Hunt et al., 2003; Magura, 2007). It may be useful to highlight some of these criticisms and outline responses based on the definitions and evidence previously reviewed in this paper. Frequently heard criticisms, several of which have been identified by Hunt et al. (2003), include but are not limited to the following points:

- ▶ Harm reduction keeps “addicts” stuck.
- ▶ Harm reduction fails to get people off illicit substances.
- ▶ Harm reduction encourages substance use.
- ▶ Harm reduction sends the wrong message.
- ▶ Harm reduction does not encourage personal responsibility.
- ▶ The evidence for harm reduction is inadequate.

The first three criticisms highlight the tension between abstinence-based approaches, which seek to prevent or discontinue substance use, and harm reduction, which seeks to reduce the harms associated with substance use. Evidence from Switzerland, where a large-scale open substance use scene thrived in a number of cities in the 1980s, showed that substance treatment programs that required abstinence for entry (i.e., high- and medium-threshold treatment programs) reached only 20 per cent of people actively using illicit substances. Harm reduction programming is designed to reach the other 80 per cent — many of whom may not be dependent or addicted — through needle distribution and recovery programs, street outreach, supervised consumption sites, programming in prisons, and low-threshold methadone and diacetylmorphine programs (MacPherson, 1999). Treatment for substance dependence is often assumed to be highly effective, but this assumption is not borne out by scientific evidence. In an abstinence-seeking context, harm reduction is seen as enabling substance use. However, treatment programs might have a success rate of only three per cent when abstinence is used as the benchmark of success after repeated cycles of treatment and relapse. Further, many people in Canada’s inner cities need access to replacement and/or substitution therapy in the end stages of a chronic, relapsing illness (S. Burgess, personal communication, June 26, 2007).

Harm reduction strategies can be viewed as part of a continuum of prevention and treatment strategies. While criticized for not reducing substance use, reducing substance use and treating addiction are not among its stated goals. Therefore, if

someone fails to strive for or achieve abstinence, it does not necessarily mean the harm reduction approach has failed. Since its goal is to reduce the harms of substance use and manage addiction, these goals are the standard by which the harm reduction approach should be judged. Bearing that in mind, evidence shows that outreach programs and the referral process associated with supervised injection sites do not increase substance use (e.g., Wood, Tyndall, Montaner, & Kerr, 2006).

---

*Harm reduction strategies can be viewed as part of a continuum of prevention and treatment strategies. Therefore, if someone fails to strive for or achieve abstinence, it does not necessarily mean the harm reduction approach has failed.*

---

The fourth criticism is that harm reduction sends the wrong message to youth about substance use. However, there is no evidence that harm reduction services encourage substance use among youth. Supervised consumption sites such as Insite have been shown to attract long-term substance users (Kerr, Tyndall, et al., 2007), and many harm reduction programs have specific policies that restrict access based on age. The prevention of substance use harms can refer to preventing the onset of substance use or reducing the harms of all use or just non-problematic use. Thus, harm prevention can encompass a range of meanings (Tupper, 2008a, 2008b). A failure to promote health out of fear that such information will exacerbate use could make nurses feel conflicted about their ability to ensure the health and safety of youth.

The fifth criticism is that harm reduction does not encourage personal responsibility for substance use. In a society where individual liberty and personal responsibility are highly valued, an inability to discontinue substance use is seen as a personal failure. Yet, this view does not account for the social or structural factors (e.g., poverty, violence and abuse) that shape substance use, whereas harm reduction principles emphasize the importance of informed decision-making. In fact, governments may be viewed as responsible for safer substance use through their regulation of the production and distribution of psychoactive substances — as they already are with other potentially harmful items such as children’s toys, automobiles, food, prescribed pharmaceuticals and alcohol.

Harm reduction efforts have also been criticized as creating a new social order and form of surveillance, as in the case of supervised injection sites (Fischer, Turnbull, Poland, & Haydon, 2004). Nurses recognize that personal responsibility is contextualized by life situations. According to the CNA code of ethics (2008), RNs should be committed to eliminating social inequities. The code recognizes the importance of advocacy to change the social conditions that affect health, such as poverty, violence and food insecurity, and to change policies that exacerbate inequities, such as drug policies that criminalize substance use.

---

*There is substantial evidence that harm reduction strategies have achieved a range of positive health and social outcomes, including increased referrals and access to services, fewer transmissions of blood-borne diseases, a reduced number of overdose deaths and less public disorder and crime.*

---

Finally, some authors have outlined criticisms of harm reduction in relation to evidence of its effectiveness, effects and intentions (Christie et al., 2008; Hunt et al., 2003), which describe it as ineffectual and without adequate evidence to support it. However, as the present literature review shows, there is substantial evidence that needle distribution and recovery programs, opioid maintenance therapy, take-home naloxone, supervised consumption sites and outreach services have achieved a range of positive health and social outcomes, including increased referrals and access to services, fewer transmissions of blood-borne diseases, a reduced number of overdose deaths and less public disorder and crime. As stated previously, many organizations (e.g., WHO, UNODC and UNAIDS) have endorsed harm reduction strategies as a public health measure on the basis of a well-established body of evidence (Wodak, 2009).

## **V. LEGAL AND ETHICAL PERSPECTIVES IN NURSING AND HARM REDUCTION**

Because harm reduction strategies associated with illicit substance use raise difficult legal and ethical questions for nurses in relation to federal, provincial and organizational policies, this section looks at legal issues and ethical perspectives that are relevant to nursing.

### **Legal Issues**

#### ***Distribution of harm reduction supplies***

Needle distribution and recovery and safer crack use programs often prompt questions about the legalities of distributing harm reduction supplies and possessing used supplies. The legal opinion of the Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network (2008) is that distributing new or unused safer crack use kits and syringes is not a crime. The primary reasoning is that, under the Criminal Code of Canada, while an instrument designed primarily to consume a substance is illicit, safer crack kits and syringes are considered devices intended to prevent disease transmission through reduced sharing of equipment; thus, they would be regulated under the *Food and Drugs Act*. As the network notes, however, “no court in Canada has ruled on this interpretation of the law, neither for NSPs [needle syringe programs], nor for programs that distribute safer crack use kits” (2008, p. 3).

Possession of a controlled substance is prohibited under the CDSA. According to the Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network, in at least one case in Canada possession of a used crack pipe was “considered as providing reasonable grounds for arrest” (2008, p. 4). However, the network argues that arresting someone for such possession is contrary to the purpose of distributing safer crack kits. If people carrying a used crack pipe run the risk of being arrested, they will be less likely to use their own crack pipes and more likely to share and publicly discard them. It sees at least two reasons why “the federal government should make it clear that it is not illegal to possess used crack pipes (or needles used for injecting drugs)” (2008, p. 4). First, when public health services distribute crack supplies or needles, the purpose is to reduce harms such as preventing disease and ensuring safer use. Second, a ministerial exemption from criminal prosecution is possible under the CDSA for people in possession of used harm reduction supplies. Insite is able to operate because it has been granted this type of exemption.

### ***Supervised consumption services***

Three approaches have been identified to support the operation of supervised consumption services without concern for criminal liability: administrative agreements, regulatory or ministerial exemptions, and amendments to substance laws (Elliott, Malkin, & Gold, 2002). Insite was able to begin operation in March 2003 because the federal minister of health, under section 56 of the CDSA, exempted users and staff from the provisions of the act. Exemptions may be granted for medical, scientific or any other purposes deemed to be in the public interest (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008). The initial three-year exemption for Insite, granted on September 12, 2003,<sup>18</sup> was for scientific purposes. In 2008, a constitutional challenge was presented to the B.C. Supreme Court to keep Insite’s doors open. The court ruled in favour of the exemption stating that existing laws that prohibit possession and trafficking of illicit substances are unconstitutional, since they deny access to health services offered to substance users at Insite (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008). Justice Ian Pitfield gave the federal government until the end of June 2009 to amend the CDSA and align it with constitutional principles embodied in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Further to this ruling, the B.C. Supreme Court granted Insite immediate exemption from the law, along with legal grounds to continue operations (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008).

If Insite’s ability to operate had depended on the exemption without further extensions, it would have had to close its doors on June 30, 2008. However, before its extension expired, the Portland Hotel Society<sup>19</sup> sought relief from the ongoing series of

---

<sup>18</sup> The exemption was subsequently extended to December 31, 2007, and then to June 30, 2008.

<sup>19</sup> The Portland Health Society operates Insite in partnership with the Vancouver Coastal Health Authority, along with a number of other plaintiffs in the case.

extensions, launching a court case in the Supreme Court of British Columbia based on the position that Insite was a health-care facility and therefore under provincial jurisdiction. The Vancouver Area Network of Drug Users also filed suit, arguing that closing Insite would deprive injection substance users of access to health care and violate their Section 7 right to security of person under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008). In court, witnesses testifying in support of the case made the following arguments:

- ▶ A review of evidence clearly indicates that Vancouver's Downtown Eastside has faced a public health crisis for several years, with increasing rates of HIV and HCV infection and an explosion of overdose deaths in the 1990s.
- ▶ Addiction is an illness that is chronic in nature and can be progressive, relapsing and fatal.
- ▶ Addiction has neurochemical, genetic, psychological and social determinants (e.g., stress, trauma and abuse).
- ▶ Unsafe injection practices increase the rate of HIV and HCV transmission.
- ▶ Supervised injections reduce morbidity and mortality.
- ▶ The introduction of the four-pillars approach (MacPherson, 2001) and Vancouver Coastal Health Authority's continuum of services (which include Insite) are intended to reduce overdose deaths, increase safer injections and provide points of entry to health and social services.

In his ruling, Justice Ian Pitfield determined the following:

While users do not use Insite to directly treat their addiction, they receive services and assistance at Insite which reduce the risk of overdose that is a feature of their illness, they avoid the risk of being infected or of infecting others by injection, and they gain access to counselling and consultation that may lead to abstinence and rehabilitation. All of this is health care. (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008, p. 51)

Justice Pitfield ruled that to close Insite would violate human rights, specifically in Section 7 (risk to life) of the charter, on the following grounds: regardless of the circumstances of entry into substance use, the result is an illness of addiction, and failure to manage addiction may lead to death from overdose or other illnesses. "If the root cause of death derives from the illness of addiction," he said, "then a law that prevents access to health care services that can prevent death clearly engages the right to life" (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008, p. 53).

---

*Justice Pitfield ruled that to close Insite would violate human rights.*

---

With regard to risks to security, Justice Pitfield determined the following: “Society cannot condone addiction, but in the face of its presence it cannot fail to manage it, hopefully with ultimate success reflected in the cure of the addicted individual and abstinence” (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008, p. 54). He did not agree with denying people with an addiction access to health-care services that would reduce the effects of their condition: “Simply stated, I cannot agree with Canada’s submission that an addict must feed his addiction in an unsafe environment when a safe environment that may lead to rehabilitation is the alternative” (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008, p. 55).

Justice Pitfield further determined that a failure to protect Insite’s staff from prosecution for possession and trafficking would be a violation of the charter because it would restrict access to health care. As a result of this case, Insite was granted a constitutional exemption to sections 4(1) and 5(1) of the CDSA, and the federal government had until June 2009 to revise sections of the act. Justice Pitfield did not agree that it was a matter of provincial jurisdiction.

The federal government, however, sought redress in the B.C. Court of Appeal. In the January 2010 Court of Appeal decision the lower court ruling was upheld. Two of the three judges ruled that Insite was a health service and a provincial jurisdiction but refrained from ruling on the charter issue. The third judge disagreed that it was a provincial jurisdiction yet agreed it was a charter issue. The federal government then appealed to the Supreme Court of Canada, which agreed to hear the case.

Although not as well known as Insite, the Dr. Peter Centre in Vancouver — operated by a not-for-profit society, the Dr. Peter AIDS Foundation — has provided supervised injection services as part of its integrated health-care model since 2002 (Wood, Zettel, et al., 2003). Supervised injection services are part of registered nursing and registered psychiatric nursing practice in both the day health program and the 24-hour skilled nursing care residence. Many Dr. Peter Centre clients live with multiple illnesses, disabilities and social inequities in addition to HIV/AIDS.

The centre’s RNs became increasingly concerned about overdose events on site and recurrent but preventable soft-tissue infections associated with non-medical injection substance use. The centre’s executive director and its RNs approached the Registered Nurses Association of British Columbia (RNABC)<sup>20</sup> with the following question: “Is providing clients with evidence-based information to safely give themselves intravenous injections within the scope of registered nursing practice?”

---

<sup>20</sup> The predecessor of the College of Registered Nurses of British Columbia.

In 2002, RNABC answered yes:

Assessing clients' knowledge and skill to safely give themselves intravenous injections is within the scope of nursing practice. Teaching and promoting evidence-based self-care activities prevents illness and promotes health, especially in relation to high risk client behaviours. Providing this information to these clients fosters the therapeutic alliance between the registered nurses and the clients and can facilitate promoting healthier client activities. . . . Employers have an obligation to provide essential support systems so that registered nurses are able to meet the Standards for Nursing Practice in British Columbia. The essential support systems include the necessary policies and resources to assist nurses to provide competent, evidence-based and ethical care. (M. Aldersberg, RNABC, personal communication to M. Davis, Dr. Peter Centre, February 19, 2002)

In 2005, RNABC became CRNBC under the new *Health Professions Act of British Columbia*. Two years later, CRNBC re-confirmed that supervised injection was part of RN practice for the purposes of preventing illness and promoting health. It also reiterated the employer obligation to support nursing practice (M. Aldersberg, CRNBC, personal communication to M. Davis, Dr. Peter Centre, December 11, 2007).

Unlike Insite, the Dr. Peter Centre did not have a Section 56 exemption to the CDSA. It stated that it was upholding provincial law and is doing everything reasonably possible to uphold federal law: nurses do not touch, inject or supply the substances.

The Dr. Peter AIDS Foundation was granted intervener status in Insite's B.C. Court of Appeal case. In her written summary of judgment, Justice Carol Huddart stated:

The evidence [provided by the foundation] established how and why the decision in this case will have significant effect on registered nurses seeking to comply with the professional and ethical standards to which they are held by their governing body. That concern is at the root of the division of powers issue and the evidence will be helpful to a full understanding of that issue. (*PHS Community Services Society v. Canada (Attorney General)*, 2010, para. 188)

The federal government appealed the B.C. ruling to the Supreme Court of Canada. In its decision, on September 29, 2011, the Supreme Court of Canada ruled unanimously (9-0) — in *Canada (Attorney General) v. PHS Community Services Society* — that the federal minister's decision to withdraw Insite's exemption under the CDSA was

arbitrary, undermining the very purposes of the CDSA, which include public health and safety. It is also grossly disproportionate: the potential denial of health services and the correlative increase in the risk of death and disease to injection drug users outweigh any benefit that might be derived from maintaining an absolute prohibition on possession of illegal drugs on Insite's premises. (*Canada v. PHS Community Services Society*, 2011, para. 136)

The court then ordered the federal health minister to grant an indefinite exemption to Insite. Following the ruling, the Conservative government tabled the bill that would



become the *Respect for Communities Act* (passed into law in March 2015), which sought a federal regulatory framework for supervised injection sites, along with provisions for certification and inspections. The act also tried to ensure that provincial health and public safety ministers would supply the federal health minister with support letters before the minister might grant an exemption to existing substance laws. The act outlined 26 criteria for applicants to meet before a site could be licensed. These criteria meant arduous delays in many areas where there was a demonstrated need for supervised consumption services. The act would require Insite and any future supervised injection sites to meet specific requirements for continued operation while providing regular reports on the facility, on crime rates in the surrounding area and on health outcomes. Then, in December of 2016, concurrent with the release of the Canadian Drugs and Substances Strategy (CDSS), the Liberal government introduced Bill C-37 into parliament. The bill, which supports the CDSS, proposes to amend the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* and repeal the *Respect for Communities Act*, reducing barriers and streamlining the process for communities in Canada who wish to apply for supervised consumption sites (Government of Canada, 2016b).

The Pitfield decision (*PHS Community Services v. Attorney General of Canada*, 2008), the B.C. Court of Appeal decision (2010), and the experience of the Dr. Peter Centre, followed by the 2011 Supreme Court of Canada ruling, provide important legal perspectives on supervised injection services. Among the implications for nurses are that:

- ▶ Addiction is understood to be a chronic disease.
- ▶ Harm reduction services are core health-care services for managing problematic substance use.
- ▶ It is unconstitutional to deny access to health-care services because of illicit substance use.
- ▶ Supervised injection education is within the scope of nursing practice.
- ▶ Managers and employers should support practice on the basis of current research.
- ▶ Managers and employers should support the development of organizational policies that are consistent with a harm reduction approach.

### ***Ethical issues***

In relation to the response to illicit substance use, at least two conflicts have particular relevance for nurses in Canada. The first is between evidence and policy; the second, between harm reduction and health equity, fairness and social justice.

While nurses have an ethical responsibility to promote health and well-being and a responsibility to base their practice on current evidence, they may work in organizations that do not support harm reduction or may endorse or follow an abstinence-based

model. In essence, the illicit status of many substances, along with current prohibitionist drug policies, create a concern about the very nature of ethical practice in the care of people who use illicit substances. To examine ethical nursing practice in this context, a discussion of the professional values that underpin nursing practice is helpful.

---

*The values of harm reduction are consistent with the values of professional nursing presented in the code of ethics: the provision of safe, ethical, competent and compassionate care; the promotion of health and well-being; the promotion of and respect for informed decision-making; the preservation of dignity, in which care is provided on the basis of need; and the promotion of justice.*

---

The ethical commitments of nurses are outlined in CNA's code of ethics (2008), which highlights important values that guide nursing practice and the delivery of care to all Canadians. The values of harm reduction are consistent with the values of professional nursing presented in the code of ethics: the provision of safe, ethical, competent and compassionate care; the promotion of health and well-being; the promotion of and respect for informed decision-making; the preservation of dignity, in which care is provided on the basis of need; and the promotion of justice (Lightfoot et al., 2009; Pauly, Goldstone, et al., 2007). In particular, in providing safe, competent and ethical care, nurses have a duty to base their practice on the best evidence available. The evidence reviewed previously in this paper suggests that harm reduction strategies, including needle distribution and recovery services, supervised consumption sites, peer outreach, distribution of safer crack kits, and opioid agonist treatments such as methadone, buprenorphine/naloxone, or diacetylmorphine, are associated with reducing risk behaviours and promoting the health and well-being of people who use illicit substances.

---

*Nurses have a duty to base their practice on the best evidence available.*

---

In some situations, the lack of a harm reduction policy in a health-care organization can contribute to and reinforce the existing societal stigmas of a culture permeated by negative attitudes toward illicit substance use. In this context, nurses may be concerned about legal and organizational censure if they take a harm reduction approach in their practice. Although legal prosecution appears unlikely (Pauly, Goldstone, et al., 2007), it is nonetheless possible that a nurse working under such conditions may face organizational censure if he or she departs from the organization's norms of practice.

National and provincial professional nursing associations have a key role in this regard. In Canada, CNA and CANAC (2012) have developed a joint position statement on harm reduction as part of the response to addressing health inequities. The creation of a national position on harm reduction is particularly important. At the provincial level, existing nursing policy (e.g., the definition of nursing practice, professional standards

and the CNA code of ethics) may be applied and interpreted to highlight important directions for nurses that are caring for people who use substances.

Fry, Cvetkovski and Cameron (2006) observed that questions of “microethics,” or everyday ethics, abound in the operation and evaluation of supervised injection sites and supervised consumption sites, even though these “applied ethical issues (e.g., maintenance of client privacy and confidentiality, consent in the case of intoxicated clients, staff role boundaries and duty of care in the case of self-harm through injection) may be considered by some as second-order compared to other clinical and empirical concerns” (p. 465). They argue that reflecting on and discussing these issues is how to make values more explicit and enhance nursing practice. For example, concerns have been raised about the increased risk of HIV infection for people who require assistance with injecting (Wood, Spittal, et al., 2003). Individuals who are unable to inject themselves because of decreased mobility or lost limbs present ethically challenging cases for nurses who are at the front line of providing service in such situations. Within supervised injection sites and supervised consumption sites there is limited understanding of these issues and, more generally, issues related to poor health and inequities in access to health care for the individuals who use such facilities. Of key concern to nurses are the many ethical issues related to implementing harm reduction interventions (Pauly, Goldstone, et al., 2007).

The second conflict for nurses is the degree to which harm reduction is aligned with the fundamental commitments to equity and social justice outlined in CNA’s code of ethics (2008). While harm reduction has been identified as “value-neutral” on the question of illicit substance use (Keane, 2003), it is not value-free. Some have therefore argued for a more ethically invested understanding of harm reduction, one that acknowledges its underlying values and fosters ethical engagement as a means of enhancing research, treatment and policy (Fry, Treloar & Maher, 2005). There are differing views on the extent to which the harm reduction approach should include strategies to change the policy and political context that shapes the harms of substance use (Fry et al., 2005; Hunt, 2004). Historically, the depoliticization of substance use has been promoted as a means of reducing judgments associated with substance use. Yet others have pointed out the limitations of depoliticizing harm reduction and the importance of explicitly addressing the social factors that magnify the harms of illicit substance use (Fischer, Rehm, Kim, & Kirst, 2005; Hathaway, 2001, 2002; Miller, 2001). Some have criticized the harm reduction movement for not doing enough to address social harms or the root causes of substance-related harms, such as homelessness and poverty (Miller, 2001). Increasingly, the movement has highlighted the relationship between current international substance control regimes and human rights violations (Barrett, Lines, Schleifer, Elliot, & Bewley-Taylor, 2008). HRI’s (n.d.) principles have clearly embraced the need to challenge policies and practices that contribute to criminalization, discrimination and

social inequities as germane to harm reduction. This positioning is particularly relevant to the practice of nursing and the goals of social justice. For example, education on safer substance use will never end the homelessness that contributes to the harms of substance use (Hardill, 2007). Harm reduction can be seen as a way to partially address inequities in health and health care for people who are experiencing marginalization as a result of substance use (Pauly, 2008a).

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

- ▶ Nurses play an important role in mitigating the health-related harms associated with illicit substance use. Nurses can also act as a primary point of access to health care for people who use illicit substances, and can link individuals to housing and social services to decrease some of the other harms of substance use. The organizational factors that shape access to health care, housing and social services need to be improved. For example, the potential for services not culturally or gender sensitive to further traumatize people who use illicit substances is of serious concern. There is an urgent need to critically analyze access to health and social services and to develop and refine their structures, particularly harm reduction, counselling and trauma-care services.
- ▶ Including “social harms” in the definition of the harms of substance use holds significant implications for the way harm is perceived and for nursing practices and policies associated with substance use. As Rhodes (2002) highlights, it is important to consider social harms because housing, economic and employment policies contribute to the risk of poor health for people affected by substance use. Nursing goals and commitments are consistent with a comprehensive definition of harm reduction, which recognizes the intersections of social determinants of health with illicit substance use and is based on an understanding of the underlying social conditions that shape inequities. Nurses are well placed to extend understanding of the root causes of the harms of illicit substance use as a means to address health inequities, and such efforts are consistent with the nursing mandate as set out in CNA’s code of ethics (2008).
- ▶ Legal perspectives on the supply of harm reduction equipment and supervised consumption are consistent with pre-existing standards of professional and ethical practice in nursing. The legal opinion of the Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network is that distribution of safer crack kits and syringes is unlikely to result in prosecution. The Pitfield decision and the experience at the Dr. Peter Centre support the provision of supervised injection as part of nursing practice in primary health-care services. However, there is limited development and often a gap or absence of official nursing policies in relation to these issues in the majority of health-care settings. To date, there has been limited examination of micro-ethical issues in

harm reduction and nursing practice. More consideration of the ethical concerns associated with caring for people who use illicit substances is needed.

- ▶ There is substantial evidence of the benefits of several targeted harm reduction strategies. Needle distribution and recovery programs have been shown to be safe, effective and cost-saving in reducing HIV risk behaviours and increasing access to health and social services for people who use injected substances. Outreach strategies are a low-cost and effective means of reaching people who use illicit substances and are particularly effective if they incorporate peer-based outreach. Supervised consumption sites reduce HIV risk behaviours and overdose deaths, increase access to substance use treatment and reduce public disorder. Opioid agonist treatments such as methadone, buprenorphine/naloxone, or diacetylmorphine (prescription heroin) are safe and cost-effective, with initial evidence indicating that diacetylmorphine is more effective than methadone for people who have failed previous treatment approaches. Initial studies of diacetylmorphine have found that it improves health outcomes and reduces illicit substance use and crime without any negative impacts on the community. Newer research also cites possible improved safety of buprenorphine/naloxone (Suboxone) over methadone.
- ▶ Although there is considerable evidence concerning various harm reduction strategies such as needle distribution and recovery programs, opioid agonist treatments such as methadone, buprenorphine/naloxone, or diacetylmorphine, supervised consumption sites, distribution of safer crack use kits and peer outreach, assessments of the role and impact of nursing in these strategies have been limited. Most of the nursing literature on harm reduction consists of descriptive accounts of nursing practice. Although these accounts provide important insight into the role of nurses in reducing the harms associated with illicit substance use, research by or with nurses is also needed to monitor and evaluate nursing processes and outcomes.
- ▶ While international and provincial drug policies have shifted to support harm reduction strategies consistent with a public health approach to illicit substance use, federal policies have increasingly embraced abstinence and a “war on drugs” approach. There is a patchwork of policies at the organizational level that may support or discourage efforts to reduce the harms of illicit substance use. In the absence of nursing policy on harm reduction, nurses are often caught between evidence and policy. Research on risk environments as a framework for understanding social factors that produce harms that influence illicit substance use (Rhodes, 2002; Rhodes et al., 2005) points to the need for nurses to focus their attention not simply on drug policies, but also on policies related to social housing and income that contribute to these harms. In addition, histories of gender inequality, colonization and ethnic disparities strongly point to the need to

develop policies through a gendered and culturally appropriate lens that pays attention to the conditions that create inequities associated with illicit substance use. Challenging policies and practices that contribute to harms is consistent not only with the principles of harm reduction but with the CNA code of ethics (2008), in which commitments to equity and social justice include addressing unfair or unjust policies.

# References

- Ahern, J., Stuber, J., & Galea, S. (2007). Stigma, discrimination and the health of illicit drug users. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 88, 188-196.
- Alexander, B. K. (1990). *Peaceful measures: Canada's way out of the "war on drugs."* Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Alexander, B. K. (2008). *The globalisation of addiction: A study in poverty of the spirit.* Don Mills, ON: Oxford University Press.
- Amato, L., Davoli, M., Minozzi, S., Ferroni, E., Ali, R., & Ferri, M. (2013). Methadone at tapered doses for the management of opioid withdrawal. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2013. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003409.pub4
- Amato, L., Davoli, M., Perucci, C. A., Ferri, M., Faggiano, F., & Mattick, R. P. (2005). An overview of systematic reviews of the effectiveness of opiate maintenance therapies: Available evidence to inform clinical practice and research. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 28, 321-329. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2005.02.007>
- Amato, L., Minozzi, S., Davoli, M., & Vecchi, S. (2011). Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments versus pharmacological treatments for opioid detoxification. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2011. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005031.pub4
- Angus Reid Institute. (2010). *Canadians are supportive of Insite and oppose moves to shut it down* [Online survey]. Retrieved from <http://www.angus-reid.com/polls/43157/canadians-are-supportive-of-insite-and-oppose-moves-to-shut-it-down/>
- Baggett, T.P., Hwang, S. W., O'Connell, J. J., Porneala, B. C., Stringfellow, E. J., Orav, E. J., . . . Rigotti, N. A.. (2013). Mortality among homeless adults in Boston: Shifts in causes of death over a 15-year period. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 173, 189-195.
- Ball, A. L. (2007). HIV, injecting drug use and harm reduction: A public health response. *Addiction*, 102, 684-690.
- Banks, J. W., & Loftus, P. (1991). Street smarts: A nurse-run program that battles the spread of HIV in the streets. Its weapon? Education. *Canadian Nurse*, 87(7), 25-27.
- Bargagli, A. M., Hickman, M., Davoli, M., Perucci, C. A., Schifano, P., Buster, M., . . . Vicente, J. (2006). Drug-related mortality and its impact on adult mortality in eight European countries. *European Journal of Public Health*, 16, 198-202. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cki168>
- Barnett, P. G., Rodgers, J. H., & Bloch, D. A. (2001). A meta-analysis comparing buprenorphine to methadone for treatment of opiate dependence. *Addiction*, 95, 683-690.
- Barrett, D., Lines, R., Schleifer, R., Elliot, R., & Bewley-Taylor, D. (2008, March). *Recalibrating the regime: The need for a human rights-based approach to international drug policy* (Report 13). Retrieved from the Beckley Foundation website: [https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/related\\_material/beckley0308exec.pdf](https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/related_material/beckley0308exec.pdf)
- Bartlett, R., Brown, L., Shattell, M., Wright, T., & Lewallen, L. (2013). Harm reduction: Compassionate care of persons with addictions. *Medsurg Nursing*, 22, 349-358.
- B.C. Centre for Disease Control. (2016). *B.C. DOAP Opioid overdose response strategy (DOORS)*. Retrieved from <http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/Educational%20Materials/Epid/Other/Opioid%20Overdose%20Response%20Strategy%20Final.pdf>

- B.C. Centre for Disease Control. (2017). *The B.C. public health opioid overdose emergency*. Retrieved from [http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/Educational%20Materials/Epid/Other/Public%20Facing%20Surveillance%20Report\\_Jan2017.pdf](http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/Educational%20Materials/Epid/Other/Public%20Facing%20Surveillance%20Report_Jan2017.pdf)
- B.C. Coroner's Service. (2017). *Illicit drug overdose deaths in B.C.: January 1, 2007-January 31, 2017*. Retrieved from <http://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/public-safety-and-emergency-services/death-investigation/statistical/illicit-drug.pdf>
- B.C. Ministry of Health. (2006). *Harm reduction: A British Columbia community guide*. Retrieved from <http://www.health.gov.bc.ca/library/publications/year/2005/hrcommunityguide.pdf>
- B.C. Ministry of Health Services. (2004). *Every door is the right door: A British Columbia planning framework to address problematic substance use and addiction*. Retrieved from [http://www.health.gov.bc.ca/library/publications/year/2004/framework\\_for\\_substance\\_use\\_and\\_addiction.pdf](http://www.health.gov.bc.ca/library/publications/year/2004/framework_for_substance_use_and_addiction.pdf)
- B.C. Nurses' Union. (2008, May 28). Nurses welcome court ruling keeping InSite open: B.C. nurses' union calls on Ottawa to accept decision and amend drug laws [Media release]. Retrieved from [http://www.bcnu.org/whats\\_new\\_media/news\\_releases/2008/05-28.htm](http://www.bcnu.org/whats_new_media/news_releases/2008/05-28.htm)
- Beirness, D. J., Jesseman, R., Notarandrea, R., & Perron, M. (2008). *Harm reduction: What's in a name?* Retrieved from the Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction website: <http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/ccsa0115302008e.pdf#search=Harm%20reduction%20what%27s%20in%20a%20name>
- Bennett, T., Holloway, K., & Farrington, D. (2008). The statistical association between drug misuse and crime: A meta-analysis. *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 13*(2), 107-118.
- Benschop, A., Rabes, M., & Korf, D. J. (2002). Pill testing, ecstasy and prevention: A scientific evaluation in three European cities. Amsterdam: Rozenberg Publishers.
- Berridge, V. (2009). Heroin prescription and history. *New England Journal of Medicine, 361*, 820-821.
- Binswanger, I. A., Kral, A. H., Bluthenthal, R. N., Rybold, D. J., & Edlin, B. R. (2000). High prevalence of abscesses and cellulitis among community-recruited injection drug users in San Francisco. *Clinical Infectious Diseases, 30*, 579-581.
- Boyd, N. (1991). *High society: Legal and illegal drugs in Canada*. Toronto: Key Porter Books.
- Boyd, S. C., MacPherson, D., & Osborn, B. (2009). *Raise shit! Social action saving lives*. Winnipeg: Fernwood Publishing.
- Boyd, S. C., Carter, C. J., & MacPherson, D. (2016). *More harm than good: Drug policy in Canada*. Winnipeg: Fernwood Publishing.
- Brennan, E., & Giles, S. (1996). Nursing close to the street: Home care nursing in Vancouver's urban core. Retrieved from <http://www.multidx.com/articles/CloseToStreet96.html>
- Broadhead, R. S., Heckathorn, D. D., Weakliem, D. L., Anthony, D. L., Madray, H., Mill, R. J., & Hughes, J. (1998). Harnessing peer networks as an instrument for AIDS prevention: Results from a peer-driven intervention. *Public Health Reports, 113*(Suppl. 1), 42-57.
- Broadhead, R. S., Kerr, T. H., Grund, J.-P.C., & Altice, F. L. (2002). Safer injection facilities in North America: Their place in public policy and health initiatives. *Journal of Drug Issues, 31*, 329-356.
- Broadhead, R. S., Van Hulst, Y., & Heckathorn, D. D. (1999). Termination of an established needle-exchange: A study of claims and their impact. *Social Problems, 46*(1), 48-66.  
[doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/3097161](https://doi.org/10.2307/3097161)
- Brown, L. (1988, November-December). Taking to the streets. *RNABC News, 20*(6), 10-13. Retrieved from <https://archives1.crnbc.ca/>



- Butters, J., & Erickson, P. G. (2003). Meeting the health care needs of female crack users: A Canadian example. *Women and Health, 37*(3), 1-17.
- CADTH. (2013). *Suboxone versus methadone for the treatment of opioid dependence: A review of the clinical and cost-effectiveness* [Report in brief]. Retrieved from <https://www.cadth.ca/suboxone-versus-methadone-treatment-opioid-dependence-review-clinical-and-cost-effectiveness>
- Campbell, L., Boyd, N., & Culbert, L. (2009). *A thousand dreams: Vancouver's Downtown Eastside and the fight for its future*. Vancouver: Greystone Press.
- Canada (Attorney General) v. PHS Community Services Society, SCC 44. [2011] 3 S.C.R. 134.
- Canada Border Services Agency. (2014). *2014-15 Report on plans and priorities* (Catalogue No. PS35-4/2014E-PDF). Retrieved from <http://www.cbsa-asfc.gc.ca/agency-agence/reports-rapports/rpp/2014-2015/rpp-2014-2015-eng.pdf>
- Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse. (1996). *Harm reduction: Concepts and practices, A policy discussion paper*. Ottawa: Author.
- Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse. (2004). *Canadian addiction survey (CAS): Prevalence of use and related harms — Highlights*. Retrieved from <http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/ccsa-004804-2004.pdf>
- Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse, Health Canada. (2005). *National framework for action to reduce the harms associated with alcohol and other drugs and substances in Canada*. Retrieved from <http://www.ccsa.ca/Eng/focus/national/Pages/default.aspx>
- Canadian Drug Policy Coalition. (2013). *Opioid overdose, prevention and response in Canada*. Retrieved from [http://drugpolicy.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/CDPC\\_OverdosePreventionPolicy\\_Final\\_July2014.pdf](http://drugpolicy.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/CDPC_OverdosePreventionPolicy_Final_July2014.pdf)
- Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network. (2005). "Nothing about us without us": Greater, meaningful involvement of people who use illegal drugs. A public health, ethical, and human rights imperative. Retrieved from [http://www.aidsalliance.org/assets/000/000/376/310-1.-Nothing-about-us-without-us-Report-\(English\)\\_original.pdf?1405520211](http://www.aidsalliance.org/assets/000/000/376/310-1.-Nothing-about-us-without-us-Report-(English)_original.pdf?1405520211)
- Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network. (2008). *Distributing safer crack use kits in Canada: Questions and answers*. Retrieved from <http://www.aidslaw.ca/site/distributing-safer-crack-use-kits-in-canada-questions-and-answers/?lang=en>
- Canadian Institute for Health Information, Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse. (2016). *Hospitalizations and emergency department visits due to opioid poisoning in Canada*. Retrieved from <https://secure.cihi.ca/estore/productFamily.htm?locale=en&pf=PFC3328&lang=en>
- Canadian Medical Association. (2010, October 7). Canadian Medical Association intervenes to support harm reduction [Media release]. Retrieved from <http://www.newswire.ca/news-releases/canadian-medical-association-intervenes-to-support-harm-reduction-545672132.html>
- Canadian Nurses Association. (2008). *Code of ethics for registered nurses*. Retrieved from <https://www.cna-aiic.ca/en/on-the-issues/best-nursing/nursing-ethics>
- Canadian Nurses Association, Canadian Association of Nurses in AIDS Care. (2012). *Harm reduction* [Position statement]. Retrieved from [https://cna-aiic.ca/~media/cna/page-content/pdf-en/jps\\_harm\\_reduction\\_2012\\_e.pdf](https://cna-aiic.ca/~media/cna/page-content/pdf-en/jps_harm_reduction_2012_e.pdf)
- Canadian Public Health Association. (2014). *A new approach to managing illegal psychoactive substances in Canada* [Discussion paper]. Retrieved from [http://www.cpha.ca/uploads/policy/ips\\_2014-05-15\\_e.pdf](http://www.cpha.ca/uploads/policy/ips_2014-05-15_e.pdf)
- Carriere, G. L. (2008). Linking women to health and wellness: Street outreach takes a population health approach. *International Journal of Drug Policy, 19*, 205-210. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2008.03.006

- Carroll, J. (1995). The negative attitudes of some general nurses towards drug misusers. *Nursing Standard*, 34(9), 36-38.
- Carstairs, C. (2006). *Jailed for possession: Illegal drug use, regulation, and power in Canada, 1920-1961*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Centre for Addiction and Mental Health. (2011). *Buprenorphine/naloxone for opioid dependence: Clinical practice guideline*. Retrieved from [https://www.cpsa.on.ca/uploadedFiles/policies/guidelines/office/buprenorphine\\_naloxone\\_gdlns2011.pdf](https://www.cpsa.on.ca/uploadedFiles/policies/guidelines/office/buprenorphine_naloxone_gdlns2011.pdf)
- Centre for Addictions Research of British Columbia. (2006). *Following the evidence: Preventing harms from substance use in B.C.* Retrieved from <http://www.health.gov.bc.ca/library/publications/year/2006/followingtheevidence.pdf>
- Centre for Addictions Research of British Columbia. (2008). *Beyond 2008: An international NGO forum*. Retrieved from <https://www.uvic.ca/research/centres/carbc/assets/docs/report-beyond-2008-ngo-forum.pdf>
- Chandler, R. (2008). *Best practices for British Columbia's harm reduction supply distribution program*. Retrieved from the B.C. Harm Reduction Strategies and Services website: <http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/Guidelines%20and%20Forms/Guidelines%20and%20Manuals/Epid/Other/BestPractices.pdf>
- Christie, T., Groarke, L., & Sweet, W. (2008). Virtue ethics as an alternative to deontological and consequential reasoning in the harm reduction debate. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 19, 52-58. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2007.11.020
- City of Vancouver. (2005). *Draft plan: Preventing harm from psychoactive substance use*. Retrieved from <http://www.cfdp.ca/van05.pdf>
- Clark, N. C., Lintzeris, N., Gijbbers, A., Whelan, G., Dunlop, A., Ritter, A., & Ling, W. (2002). LAAM maintenance vs. methadone maintenance for heroin dependence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2002.
- Collin, C. (2006a). *Substance abuse issues and public policy in Canada: I. Canada's Federal Drug Strategy*. Retrieved from the Parliament of Canada website: <http://www.lop.parl.gc.ca/content/lop/researchpublications/prb0615-e.html>
- Collin, C. (2006b). *Substance abuse issues and public policy in Canada: II. Parliamentary action (1987-2005)*. Retrieved from the Parliament of Canada website: <http://www.lop.parl.gc.ca/content/lop/researchpublications/prb0605-e.html>
- Collins, C. L., Kerr, T., Tyndall, M. W., Marsh, D. C., Kretz, P. S., Montaner, J. S., & Wood, E. (2005). Rationale to evaluate medically supervised safer smoking facilities for non-injection illicit drug users. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 96, 344-347.
- Connock, M., Juarez-Garcia, A., Jowett, S., Frew, E., Liu, Z., Taylor, R. J., . . . Taylor, R. S. (2007). Methadone and buprenorphine for the management of opioid dependence: A systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*, 11(9), 1-171, iii-iv.
- Cook, C., Phelan, M., Sander, G., Stone, K., & Murphy, F. (2016). *The case for a harm reduction decade: Progress, potential and paradigm shifts*. Retrieved from the Harm Reduction International website: [https://www.hri.global/files/2016/03/10/Report\\_The\\_Case\\_for\\_a\\_Harm\\_Reduction\\_Decade.pdf](https://www.hri.global/files/2016/03/10/Report_The_Case_for_a_Harm_Reduction_Decade.pdf)
- Corneil, T. A., Kuyper, L. M., Shoveller, J., Hogg, R. S., Li, K., Spittal, P. M., . . . Wood, E. (2006). Unstable housing associated risk behavior, and increased risk for HIV infection among injection drug users. *Health and Place*, 12(1), 79-85. doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2004.10.004
- Coyle, S. L., Needle, R. H., & Normand, J. (1998). Outreach-based HIV prevention for injecting drug users: A review of published outcome data. *Public Health Reports*, 113(Suppl. 1), 19-30.

- Craib, K. J., Spittal, P. M., Wood, E., Laliberte, N., Hogg, R. S., Li, K., . . . Schechter, M. T. (2003). Risk factors for elevated HIV incidence among Aboriginal injection drug users in Vancouver. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *168*, 19-24.
- Crockett, B., & Gifford, S. M. (2004). "Eyes wide shut": Narratives of women living with hepatitis C in Australia. *Women and Health*, *39*(4), 117-137.
- Crofts, N., & Herkt, D. (1993). A history of peer-based drug-user groups in Australia. *Journal of Drug Issues*, *25*, 599-616.
- Cruz, M. F., Patra, J., Fischer, B., Rehm, J., & Kalousek, K. (2007). Public opinion towards supervised injection facilities and heroin-assisted treatment in Ontario, Canada. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *18*, 54-61.
- Darke, S., Ross, J., & Hall, W. (1996). Overdose among heroin users in Sydney, Australia: I. Prevalence and correlates of non-fatal overdose. *Addiction*, *91*, 405-411.
- Davenport-Hines, R. (2001). *The pursuit of oblivion: A global history of narcotics, 1500-2000*. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
- DeBeck, K., Kerr, T., Li, K., Fischer, B., Buxton, J., Montaner, J., & Wood, E. (2009). Smoking of crack cocaine as a risk factor for HIV infection among people who use injection drugs. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *181*, 585-589. doi:10.1503/cmaj.082054
- DeBeck, K., Wood, E., Montaner, J., & Kerr, T. (2006). Canada's 2003 renewed drug strategy: An evidence-based review. *HIV/AIDS Policy and Law Review*, *11*(2/3), 1, 5-12.
- Deonarine, A., Amlani, A., Ambrose, G., & Buxton, J. A. (2016). Qualitative assessment of take-home naloxone program participant and law enforcement interactions in British Columbia. *Harm Reduction Journal*, *13*:17. doi:10.1186/s12954-016-0106-1
- Des Jarlais, D. C., Perlis, T., Arasteh, K., Torian, L. V., Hagan, H., Beatrice, S., . . . Friedman, S. R. (2005). Reductions in hepatitis C virus and HIV infections among injecting drug users in New York City, 1990-2001. *AIDS*, *19*(Suppl. 3), S20-S25.
- Dijkgraaf, M. G., vander Zanden, B. P., de Borgie, C. A., Blanken, P., van Ree, J. M., & van den Brink, W. (2005). Cost utility analysis of co-prescribed heroin compared with methadone maintenance treatment in heroin addicts in two randomised trials. *BMJ Clinical Research*, *330*. doi:10.1136/bmj.330.7503.1297
- Dikötter, F., Laamann, L., & Zhou, X. (2004). *Narcotic culture: A history of drugs in China*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Dolan, K., Rutter, S., & Wodak, A. D. (2003). Prison-based syringe exchange programmes: A review of international research and development. *Addiction*, *98*, 153-158. doi:10.1046/j.1360-0443.2003.00309.x
- Dong, K. A., Taylor, M., Wild, C. T., Villa-Roel, C., Rose, M., Salvaggio, G., & Rowe, B. (2012). Community-based naloxone: A Canadian pilot program. *Canadian Journal of Addiction Medicine*, *3*(2), 4-9.
- Dooling, K., & Rachlis, M. (2010). Vancouver's supervised injection facility challenges Canada's drug laws. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* *182*, 1440-1444. doi:10.1503/cmaj.100032
- Downing, M., Riess, T. H., Vernon, K., Mulia, N., Hollinquest, M., McKnight, C., . . . Edlin, B. R. (2005). What's community got to do with it? Implementation models of syringe exchange programs. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, *17*, 68-78. doi:10.1521/aeap.17.1.68.58688
- Drucker, E. (1999). Drug prohibition and public health: 25 years of evidence. *Public Health Reports*, *114*, 14-29.
- Elliott, R., Csete, J., Palepu, A., & Kerr, T. (2005). Reason and rights in global drug control policy. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *172*, 655-656.

- Elliott, R., Malkin, I., & Gold, J. (2002). *Establishing safe injection facilities in Canada: Legal and ethical issues*. Retrieved from the Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network website: <http://www.aidslaw.ca/site/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/Canada-SIFs-ENG.pdf>
- Erickson, P. G. (1998). Neglected and rejected: A case study of the impact of social research on Canadian drug policy. *Canadian Journal of Sociology*, 23, 263-280. doi:10.2307/3341968
- Escohotado, E. (1999). *A brief history of drugs: From the stone age to the stoned age*. Rochester, VT: Park Street Press.
- Everitt, B. J., & Robbins, T. W. (2016). Drug addiction: Updating actions to habits to compulsions ten years on. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 67, 23-50. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033457
- Faggiano, F., Vigna-Taglianti, F., Versino, E., & Lemma, P. (2003). Methadone maintenance at different dosages for opioid dependence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2003. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002208
- Farré, M., Mas, A., Torrens, M., Moreno, V., & Cami, J. (2002). Retention rate and illicit opioid use during methadone maintenance interventions: A meta-analysis. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 65, 283-290.
- Ferri, M., Davoli, M., & Perucci, C. A. (2010). Heroin maintenance for chronic heroin-dependent individuals. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2010(8). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003410.pub3
- Feng, C., DeBeck, K., Kerr, T., Mathias, S., Montaner, J., & Wood, E. (2013) Homelessness independently predicts injection drug use initiation among street-involved youth in a Canadian setting. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 52, 499-501. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.07.011
- Fischer, B., Rehm, J., Brissette, S., Brochu, S., Bruneau, J., El-Guebaly, N., . . . Baliunas, D. (2005). Illicit opioid use in Canada: Comparing social, health, and drug use characteristics of untreated users in five cities (OPICAN study). *Journal of Urban Health*, 82, 250-266. doi:10.1093/jurban/jti049
- Fischer, B., Rehm, J., Kim, G., & Kirst, M. (2005). Eyes wide shut? A conceptual and empirical critique of methadone maintenance treatment. *European Addiction Research*, 11, 1-14. doi:10.1159/000081410
- Fischer, B., Rehm, J., Kim, G., & Robins, A. (2002). Safer injection facilities (SIFs) for injection drug users (IDUs) in Canada: A review and call for an evidence-focused pilot trial. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 93, 336-338.
- Fischer, B., Rehm, J., Kirst, M., Casas, M., Hall, W., Krausz, M., . . . van Ree, J. M. (2002). Heroin-assisted treatment as a response to the public health problem of opiate dependence. *European Journal of Public Health*, 12, 228-234.
- Fischer, B., Rehm, J., Patra, J., Kalousek, K., Haydon, E., Tyndall, M., & El-Guebaly, N. (2006). Crack across Canada: Comparing crack users and crack non-users in a Canadian multi-city cohort of illicit opiate users. *Addiction*, 101, 1760-1770. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01614.x
- Fischer, B., Rudzinski, K., Ivsins, A., Gallupe, O., Patra, J., & Kraijden, M. (2010). Social, health and drug use characteristics of primary crack users in three mid-sized communities in British Columbia, Canada. *Drugs: Education, Prevention, and Policy* 17, 333-353. doi:10.3109/09687630903357700
- Fischer, B., Turnbull, T., Poland, B., & Haydon, E. (2004). Drug use, risk and urban order: Examining supervised injection sites (SISs) as "governmentality." *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 15, 357-365. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2004.04.002>
- Fisher, D. G., Fenaughty, A. M., Cagle, H. H., & Wells, R. S. (2003). Needle exchange and injection drug use frequency: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 33, 199-205.
- Fisher, D. G., Reynolds, G. L., & Harbke, C. R. (2002). Selection effect of needle exchange in Anchorage, Alaska. *Journal of Urban Health*, 79, 128-135. doi:10.1093/jurban/79.1.128

- Fisk, S. N. (1998). Integrating harm-reduction methods and HIV care. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*, 9(3), 19-24. doi:10.1016/S1055-3290(98)80017-4
- Fox, T. P., Oliver, G., & Ellis, S. M. (2013). The destructive capacity of drug abuse: An overview exploring the harmful potential of drug abuse both to the individual and to society. *ISRN Addiction*, 2013, 1-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/450348>
- Freeman, K., Jones, C. G., Weatherburn, D. J., Rutter, S., Spooner, C. J., & Donnelly, N. (2005). The impact of the Sydney Medically Supervised Injecting Centre (MSIC) on crime. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 24, 173-184. doi:10.1080/09595230500167460
- Friedman, S. R., Cooper, H. L., Tempalski, B., Keem, M., Friedman, R., Flom, P. L., & Des Jarlais, D. C. (2006). Relationships of deterrence and law enforcement to drug-related harms among drug injectors in U.S. metropolitan areas. *AIDS*, 20, 93-99.
- Friedman, S. R., de Jong, W., Rossi, D., Touzé, G., Rockwell, R., Des Jarlais, D. C., & Elovich, R. (2007). Harm reduction theory: Users' culture, micro-social indigenous harm reduction, and the self-organization and outside-organizing of users' groups. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 18, 107-117. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2006.11.006
- Fry, C. L., Cvetkovski, S., & Cameron, J. (2006). The place of supervised injecting facilities within harm reduction: Evidence, ethics and policy. *Addiction*, 101, 465-467. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01386.x
- Fry, C. L., Treloar, C., & Maher, L. (2005). Ethical challenges and responses in harm reduction research: Promoting applied communitarian ethics. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 24, 449-459. doi:10.1080/09595230500263905
- Galea, S., Nandi, A., & Vlahov, D. (2004). The social epidemiology of substance use. *Epidemiological Reviews*, 26, 36-52. doi:10.1093/epirev/mxh007
- Galea, S., Rudenstine, S., & Vlahov, D. (2005). Drug use, misuse, and the urban environment. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 24, 127-136. doi:10.1080/09595230500102509
- Galea, S., & Vlahov, D. (2002). Social determinants and the health of drug users: Socioeconomic status, homelessness, and incarceration. *Public Health Reports*, 117(Suppl. 1), S135-S145.
- Garm, A. (1999). The Sheway Project. *Canadian Nurse*, 95(10), 22-25.
- Gelberg, L., Browner, C. H., Lejano, E., & Arangua, L. (2004). Access to women's health care: A qualitative study of barriers perceived by homeless women. *Women and Health*, 40(2), 87-100. doi:10.1300/J013v40n02\_06
- Gibson, D. R., Flynn, N. M., & Perales, D. (2001). Effectiveness of syringe exchange programs in reducing HIV risk behavior and HIV seroconversion among injecting drug users. *AIDS*, 15, 1329-1341.
- Giffen, P. J., Endigott, S., & Lambert, S. (1991). *Panic and indifference: The politics of Canada's drug laws*. Ottawa: Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse.
- Giles, S., & Brennan, E. (2001). Home nursing of HIV-positive clients. *Canadian Nurse*, 97(1), 33-36.
- Glanz, M., Klawansky, S., McAullife, W., & Chalmers, T. (1997). Methadone vs. L-alpha-acetylmethadol (LAAM) in the treatment of opiate addiction. A meta-analysis of the randomized, controlled trials. *American Journal on Addictions*, 6, 339-349. doi:10.1111/j.1521-0391.1997.tb00415.x
- Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Gold, F. (2003). Advocacy and activism: Supervised injection facilities. *Canadian Nurse*, 99(2), 14-18.
- Government of Canada. (1972). *Report of the Canadian government commission of inquiry into the non-medical use of drugs*. Retrieved from <http://www.druglibrary.org/schaffer/library/studies/ledain/ldctoc.html>

- Government of Canada. (2015). *CTADS: 2013 summary*. Retrieved from <http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/science-research-sciences-recherches/data-donnees/ctads-ectad/summary-sommaire-2013-eng.php>
- Government of Canada. (2016a). *CTADS: Detailed tables for 2013 (Table 11)*. Retrieved from <http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/science-research-sciences-recherches/data-donnees/ctads-ectad/tables-tableaux-2013-eng.php#t11>
- Government of Canada. (2016b, December 12). Evidence-based approach restores a health focus while strengthening law enforcement [Media release]. Retrieved from <http://news.gc.ca/web/article-en.do?nid=1168519>
- Government of Canada. (2016c, November 19). Joint statement of action to address the opioid crisis [Media release]. Retrieved from <http://www.healthycanadians.gc.ca/healthy-living-vie-saine/substance-abuse-toxicomanie/opioids-opioides/conference-cadre/statement-declaration-eng.php>
- Gowing, L., Farrell, M., Ali, R., & White, J. M. (2009). Alpha2-adrenergic agonists for the management of opioid withdrawal. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2009(4). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002024.pub3
- Gray, M. (1998). *Drug crazy: How we got into this mess and how we can get out*. New York: Random House.
- Greenwald, G. (2009). *Drug decriminalization in Portugal: Lessons for creating fair and successful drug policies*. Retrieved from the Cato Institute website: [https://object.cato.org/sites/cato.org/files/pubs/pdf/greenwald\\_whitepaper.pdf](https://object.cato.org/sites/cato.org/files/pubs/pdf/greenwald_whitepaper.pdf)
- Griffiths, H. (2002). Dr. Peter Centre: Removing barriers to health care services. *Nursing B.C.*, 34(5), 10-14.
- Gulcur, L., Stefancic, A., Shinn, M., Tsemberis, S., & Fischer, S. (2003). Housing, hospitalization, and cost outcomes for homeless individuals with psychiatric disabilities participating in continuum of care and housing first programmes. *Journal of Community and Applied Social Psychology*, 13, 171-186. doi:10.1002/casp.723
- Hagan, H., McGough, J. P., Thiede, H., Hopkins, S. G., Weiss, N. S., & Alexander, E. R. (2002). Volunteer bias in nonrandomized evaluations of the efficacy of needle-exchange programs. *Journal of Urban Health*, 77, 103-112. doi:10.1007/BF02350966
- Hardill, K. (2007). From the Grey Nuns to the streets: A critical history of outreach nursing in Canada. *Public Health Nursing*, 24, 91-97. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1446.2006.00612.x
- Harm Reduction International. (n.d.). *What is harm reduction?* [Position statement]. Retrieved from <https://www.hri.global/what-is-harm-reduction>
- Hartnoll, R. L., Mitcheson, M. C., Battersby, A., Brown, G., Ellis, M., Fleming, P., & Hedley, N. (1980). Evaluation of heroin maintenance in controlled trial. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 37, 877-884.
- Hathaway, A. D. (2001). Shortcomings of harm reduction: Toward a morally invested drug reform strategy. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 12, 125-137.
- Hathaway, A. D. (2002). From harm reduction to human rights: Bringing liberalism back into drug reform debates. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 21, 397-404. doi:10.1080/0959523021000023270
- Health Canada. (2008a). *Canadian alcohol and drug use monitoring survey: Summary of results for 2008*. Retrieved from [www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hc-ps/drugs-drogues/stat/\\_2008/summary-sommaire-eng.php](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hc-ps/drugs-drogues/stat/_2008/summary-sommaire-eng.php)
- Health Canada. (2008b). *Vancouver's Insite service and other supervised injection sites: What has been learned from research?* Final report of the expert advisory committee. Retrieved from [http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/pubs/\\_sites-lieux/insite/index-eng.php](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/pubs/_sites-lieux/insite/index-eng.php)
- Health Canada. (2016). Health Canada statement on change in federal prescription status of naloxone. Retrieved from <http://news.gc.ca/web/article-en.do?nid=1027679>

Health Officers Council of British Columbia. (2005). *A public health approach to drug control: Discussion paper*. Retrieved from <http://www.markhaden.com/publications/Health%20Officer%20Council%20-%20a%20public%20health%20to%20approach%20to%20Drug%20Control-2005.pdf>

Hedrich, D. (2004). *European report on drug consumption rooms*. Retrieved from the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction website: [http://www.salledeconsommation.fr/\\_media/dagmar-hedrich-european-report-on-drug-consumption-rooms-oedt-2004-2.pdf](http://www.salledeconsommation.fr/_media/dagmar-hedrich-european-report-on-drug-consumption-rooms-oedt-2004-2.pdf)

Heimer, R. (1998). Can syringe exchange serve as a conduit to substance abuse treatment? *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 15, 183-191.

Hilton, B. A., Thompson, R., & Moore-Dempsey, L. (2009). Evaluation of the AIDS prevention street nurse program: One step at a time. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research*, 41(1), 238-258.

Hilton, B. A., Thompson, R., Moore-Dempsey, L., & Hutchinson, K. (2001). Urban outpost nursing: The nature of the nurses' work in the AIDS prevention street nurse program. *Public Health Nursing*, 18, 273-280.

Hilton, B. A., Thompson, R., Moore-Dempsey, L., & Janzen, R. G. (2001). Harm reduction theories and strategies for control of human immunodeficiency virus: A review of the literature. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 33, 357-370.

Hulse, G. K., English, D. R., Milne, E., & Holman, C. D. J. (1999). The quantification of mortality resulting from the regular use of illicit opiates. *Addiction*, 94, 221-229.

Human Rights Watch, American Civil Liberties Union. (2016). *Every 25 seconds: The human toll of criminalizing drug use in the United States*. Retrieved from [https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/report\\_pdf/usdrug1016\\_web.pdf](https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/usdrug1016_web.pdf)

Hunt, N. (2004). Public health or human rights: What comes first? *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 15, 231-237. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2004.02.001>

Hunt, N., Ashton, M., Lenton, S., Mitcheson, L., Nelles, B., & Stimson, G. (2003). *A review of the evidence-base for harm reduction approaches to drug use*. Retrieved from the Forward Thinking on Drugs website: <https://www.hri.global/files/2010/05/31/HIVTop50Documents11.pdf>

Huo, D., Bailey, S. L., Hershov, R. C., & Ouellet, L. (2005). Drug use and HIV risk practices of secondary and primary needle exchange users. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, 17, 170-184. doi:10.1521/aeap.17.3.170.62900

Hwang, S. W. (2007). Science and ideology. *Open Medicine*, 1(2), e99-101.

International Centre for Science in Drug Policy. (2010). *Effect of drug law enforcement on drug-related violence: Evidence from a scientific review*. Retrieved from <http://www.countthecosts.org/sites/default/ICSDP-1%20-%20FINAL.pdf>

International Drug Policy Consortium. (2007). *United Nations review of global policy on illegal drugs: An advocacy guide for civil society. Version two*. Retrieved from [https://www.tni.org/files/article-downloads/idpc\\_advocacy\\_v2\\_dec2007.pdf](https://www.tni.org/files/article-downloads/idpc_advocacy_v2_dec2007.pdf)

International Drug Policy Consortium. (2016). *2016 commission on narcotic drugs and its special segment on preparations for the UNGASS on the world drug problem*. Retrieved from [http://idhdp.com/media/530837/cnd-proceedings-document-2016\\_english.pdf](http://idhdp.com/media/530837/cnd-proceedings-document-2016_english.pdf)

International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2003). *Spreading the light of science: Guidelines on harm reduction related to injecting drug use*. Retrieved from [http://www.ifrc.org/PageFiles/96733/Red\\_Cross\\_spreading\\_the\\_light\\_of\\_science.pdf](http://www.ifrc.org/PageFiles/96733/Red_Cross_spreading_the_light_of_science.pdf)

International Narcotics Control Board. (2003). *Annual report*. Retrieved from <http://www.incb.org/incb/en/publications/annual-reports/annual-report.html>

- International Network of People Who Use Drugs. (2014). *Drug user peace initiative: Stigmatising people who use drugs*. Retrieved from [https://www.unodc.org/documents/ungass2016/Contributions/Civil/INPUD/DUPI-Stigmatising\\_People\\_who\\_Use\\_Drugs-Web.pdf](https://www.unodc.org/documents/ungass2016/Contributions/Civil/INPUD/DUPI-Stigmatising_People_who_Use_Drugs-Web.pdf)
- Ivsins, A., Chow, C., Marsh, D., Macdonald, S., Stockwell, T., & Vallance, K. (2010). Drug use trends in Victoria and Vancouver, and changes in injection drug use after the closure of Victoria's fixed site needle exchange. Retrieved from the Centre for Addictions Research of B.C. website: <https://www.uvic.ca/research/centres/carbc/assets/docs/bulletin6-drug-use-trends.pdf>
- Joseph Rountree Foundation. (2006). *The report of the independent working group on drug consumption rooms*. Retrieved from <https://www.jrf.org.uk/sites/default/files/jrf/migrated/files/9781859354711.pdf>
- Johansson, B. A., Berglund, M., & Lindgren, A. (2007). Efficacy of maintenance treatment with methadone for opioid dependence: A meta-analytical study. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 61, 288-295. doi:10.1080/08039480701415251
- Johnson, T. P., & Fendrich, M. (2007). Homelessness and drug use: Evidence from a community sample. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 32(6, Suppl.), S211-S218. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2007.02.015
- Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). (2008). *Report on the global AIDS epidemic*. Retrieved from [http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\\_asset/jc1510\\_2008globalreport\\_en\\_0.pdf](http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/jc1510_2008globalreport_en_0.pdf)
- Keane, H. (2003). Critiques of harm reduction, morality and the promise of human rights. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 14, 227-232. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0955-3959\(02\)00151-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0955-3959(02)00151-2)
- Kendall, P. (2013). Health, crime, and doing time: Potential impacts of the Safe Streets and Communities Act (former Bill C-10) on the health and well-being of Aboriginal people in B.C. Retrieved from <http://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/government/ministries-organizations/ministries/health/aboriginal-health-directorate/health-crime-2013.pdf>
- Kerr, T., Oleson, M., Tyndall, M. W., Montaner, J., & Wood, E. (2005). A description of a peer-run supervised injection site for injection drug users. *Journal of Urban Health*, 82, 267-275. doi:10.1093/jurban/jti050
- Kerr, T., Oleson, M., & Wood, E. (2004). Harm reduction activism: A case study of an unsanctioned user-run safe injection site. *Canadian HIV/AIDS Policy and Law Review*, 9(2), 13-19.
- Kerr, T., Small, W., Moore, D., & Wood, E. (2007). A micro-environmental intervention to reduce the harms associated with drug-related overdose: Evidence from the evaluation of Vancouver's safer injection facility. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 18, 37-45. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2006.12.008
- Kerr, T., Small, W., Pease, W., Douglas, D., Pierre, A., & Wood, E. (2006). Harm reduction by a "user-run" organization: A case study of the Vancouver area network of drug users (VANDU). *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 17, 61-69. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2006.01.003>
- Kerr, T., Tyndall, M., Li, K., Montaner, J., & Wood, E. (2005). Safer injection facility use and syringe sharing in injection drug users. *Lancet*, 366, 316-318. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66475-6
- Kerr, T., Tyndall, M. W., Lai, C., Montaner, J. S. G., & Wood, E. (2006). Drug-related overdoses within a medically supervised safer injection facility. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 17, 436-441. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2006.05.008>
- Kerr, T., Tyndall, M. W., Zhang, R. Lai, C., Montaner, J. S. G., & Wood, E. (2007). Circumstances of first injection among illicit drug users accessing a medically supervised safer injection facility. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97, 1228-1230. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.086256
- Kerr, T., Wood, E., Grafstein, E., Ishida, T., Shannon, K., Lai, C., . . . Tyndall, M. W. (2005). High rates of primary care and emergency department use among injection drug users in Vancouver. *Journal of Public Health*, 27, 62-66.



- Kertesz, S. G., Crouch, K., Milby, J. B., Cusimano, R. E., & Schumacher, J. E. (2009). Housing first for homeless persons with active addiction: Are we overreaching? *Milbank Quarterly*, *87*, 495-534. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0009.2009.00565.x
- Kimber, J., Dolan, K., van Beek, I., Hedrich, D., & Zurhold, H. (2003). Drug consumption facilities: An update since 2000. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *22*, 227-233. doi:10.1080/095952301000116951
- Kimber, J., Dolan, K., & Wodak, A. (2005). Survey of drug consumption rooms: Service delivery and perceived public health and amenity impact. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *24*, 21-24. doi:10.1080/09595230500125047
- King County Bar Association. (2005). *Effective drug control: Toward a new legal framework*. Retrieved from <http://www.kcba.org/druglaw/pdf/effectivedrugcontrol.pdf>
- Kinner, S. A., Milloy, M.-J., Wood, E., Qi, J., Zhang, R., & Kerr, T. (2012). Incidence and risk factors for non-fatal overdose among a cohort of recently incarcerated illicit drug users. *Addictive Behaviour*, *37*, 691-696. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.01.019
- Krüsi, A., Small, W., Wood, E., & Kerr, T. (2009). An integrated supervised injecting program within a care facility for HIV-positive individuals: A qualitative evaluation. *AIDS Care*, *21*, 638-644. doi:10.1080/09540120802385645
- Lacey, M. (2009, October 16). In Mexican drug war, investigators are fearful. *New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/17/world/americas/17juarez.html>
- Larimer, M. E., Malone, D. K., Garner, M. D., Atkins, D. C., Burlingham, B., Lonczak, H. S., . . . Marlatt, G. A. (2009). Health care and public service use and costs before and after provision of housing for chronically homeless persons with severe alcohol problems. *Journal of American Medical Association*, *301*, 1349-1357. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.414
- Lasnier, B., Brochu, S., Boyd, N., Fischer, B. (2010). A heroin prescription trial: Case studies from Montreal and Vancouver on crime and disorder in the surrounding neighbourhoods. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *21*, 28-35. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2009.04.003
- Latkin, C. A. (1998). Outreach in natural settings: The use of peer leaders for HIV prevention among injecting drug users' networks. *Public Health Reports*, *113*(Suppl. 1), 151-159.
- Latkin, C. A., Hua, W., & Davey, M. A. (2004). Factors associated with peer HIV prevention outreach in drug-using communities. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, *16*, 499-508. doi:10.1521/aeap.16.6.499.53794
- Lenton, S., & Single, E. (1998). The definition of harm reduction. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *17*, 213-219. doi:10.1080/09595239800187011
- Leonard, L., DeRubeis, E., & Birkett, N. (2006). *Safer crack use initiative evaluation report*. Retrieved from the City of Ottawa Public Health website: [http://www.ohrdp.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Final\\_Crack\\_Report\\_ES\\_f.pdf](http://www.ohrdp.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Final_Crack_Report_ES_f.pdf)
- Leonard, L., Forrester, L., Navarro, C., Hansen, J., & Doucet, C. (1999). *The effectiveness of needle exchange programs in modifying HIV-related outcomes: A systematic review of the evidence 1997-1999*. Retrieved from <http://old.hamilton.ca/phcs/ephpp/Research/Full-Reviews/NE-Review.PDF>
- Liebschutz, J., Savetsky, J. B., Saitz, R., Horton, N. J., Lloyd-Travaglini, C., & Samet, J. H. (2002). The relationship between sexual and physical abuse and substance abuse consequences. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *22*, 121-128.
- Lightfoot, B., Panessa, C., Hayden, S., Thumath, M., Goldstone, I., & Pauly, B. (2009). Gaining Insight: Harm reduction in nursing practice. *Canadian Nurse*, *105*(4), 16-22.

- Lim, S. S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A. D., Danaei, G., Shibuya, K., Adair-Rohani, H., . . . Memish, Z. A. (2012). A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. *Lancet*, 380, 2224-2260. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8
- Lines, R., Jürgens, R., Betteridge, G., Stöver, H., Laticevski, D., & Nelles, J. (2006). *Prison needle exchange: Lessons from a comprehensive review of international evidence and experience* (2nd ed.). Retrieved from the HIV/AIDS Legal Network website: <http://www.aidslaw.ca/site/prison-needle-exchange-lessons-from-a-comprehensive-review-of-international-evidence-and-experience-second-edition-2006/?lang=en>
- Livingston, J. D., Milne, T., Fang, M. L., & Amari, E. (2012). The effectiveness of interventions for reducing stigma related to substance use disorders: A systematic review. *Addiction*, 107, 39-50. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03601.x
- Lloyd-Smith, E., Kerr, T., Hogg, R. S., Li, K., Montaner, J. S. G., & Wood, E. (2005). Prevalence and correlates of abscesses among a cohort of injection drug users. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 2. doi:10.1186/1477-7517-2-24
- Loth, C., Schippers, G. M., Hart, H., & van de Wijngaart, G. (2007). Enhancing the quality of nursing care in methadone substitute clinics using action research: A process evaluation. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 57, 422-431. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04117.x
- Loxley, W., Toumbourou, J. W., Stockwell, T., Haines, B., Scott, K., Godfrey, C., . . . Williams, J. (2004). *The prevention of substance use, risk and harm in Australia: A review of the evidence*. Retrieved from the Australia Department of Health website: [http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/health-publth-publicat-document-mono\\_prevention-cnt.htm](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/health-publth-publicat-document-mono_prevention-cnt.htm)
- MacDonald, M., Law, M., Kaldor, J., Hales, J., & Dore, G. J. (2003). Effectiveness of needle and syringe programmes for preventing HIV transmission. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 14, 353-357. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0955-3959\(03\)00133-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0955-3959(03)00133-6)
- Macias, J., Palacios, R. B., Claro, E., Vargas, J., Vergara, S., Mira, J. A., . . . Pineda, J. A. (2008). High prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection among noninjecting drug users: Association with sharing the inhalation implements of crack. *Liver International*, 28, 781-786. doi:10.1111/j.1478-3231.2008.01688.x
- MacNeil, J., & Pauly, B. (2010a). Impact: A case study examining the closure of a large urban fixed site needle exchange in Canada. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 7. doi:10.1186/1477-7517-7-11
- MacNeil, J., & Pauly, B. (2010b). Needle exchange as a safe haven in an unsafe world. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 30, 26-32. doi:10.1111/j.1465-3362.2010.00188.x
- MacPherson, D. (1999). Comprehensive systems of care for drug users in Switzerland and Frankfurt, Germany: A report from the 10th International Conference on the Reduction of Drug Related Harm, Frankfurt, Germany. Retrieved from the City of Vancouver archives website: <http://searcharchives.vancouver.ca/comprehensive-systems-of-care-for-drug-users-in-switzerland-and-frankfurt-germany-report-from-10th-international-conference-on-reduction-of-drug-related-harm-and-tour-of-harm-reduction-services-in-frankfurt-germany>
- MacPherson, D. (2001). *A framework for action: A four-pillar approach to drug problems in Vancouver* (Rev. ed.). Retrieved from <http://donaldmacpherson.ca/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/Framework-for-Action-A-Four-Pillars-Approach-to-Drug-Problems-in-Vancouver1.pdf>
- Madadi, P., Hildebrandt, D., Lauwers, A. E., & Koren, G. (2013). Characteristics of opioid-users whose death was related to opioid-toxicity: A population-based study in Ontario, Canada. *PLoS ONE*, 8, 1-6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060600
- Magura, S. (2007). Drug prohibition and the treatment system: Perfect together. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 42, 495-501. doi:10.1080/10826080601144580

- Malchy, L., Bungay, V., & Johnson, J. (2008). Documenting practices and perceptions of "safer" crack use: A Canadian pilot. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *19*, 339-341. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2007.06.005>
- Malkin, I., Elliott, R., & McRae, R. (2003). Supervised injection facilities and international law. *Journal of Drug Issues*, *33*, 539-578.
- Manzoni, P., Brochu, S., Fischer, B., & Rehm, J. (2006). Determinants of property crime among illicit opiate users outside of treatment across Canada. *Deviant Behavior*, *27*, 351-376. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01639620600605705>
- March, J. C., Oviedo-Joekes, E., Perea-Milla, E., Carrasco, F., & PEPSA Team. (2006). Controlled trial of prescribed heroin in the treatment of opioid addiction. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *31*, 203-211. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2006.04.007
- Marlatt, G. A. (1996). Harm reduction: Come as you are. *Addictive Behaviors*, *21*, 779-788.
- Marlatt, G. A., & Witkiewitz, K. (2010). Update on harm-reduction policy and intervention research. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *6*, 591-606. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131438
- Marshall, B. D. L., Milloy, M.-J., Wood, E., Montaner, J. S. G., & Kerr T. (2011). Reduction in overdose mortality after the opening of North America's first medically supervised safer injecting facility: A retrospective population-based study. *Lancet*, *377*, 1429-1437. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62353-7
- Marx, M. A., Crape, B., Brookmeyer, R. S., Junge, B., Latkin, C., Vlahov, D., & Strathdee, S. A. (2000). Trends in crime and the introduction of a needle exchange program. *American Journal of Public Health*, *90*, 1933-1936.
- Masson, C. L., Sorensen, J. L., Perlman, D. C., Shopshire, M. S., Delucchi, K. L., Chen, T., . . . Hall, S. M. (2007). Hospital- versus community-based syringe exchange: A randomized controlled trial. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, *19*, 97-110.
- Maté, G. (2008). *In the realm of hungry ghosts: Close encounters with addiction*. Toronto: Knopf Canada.
- Mattick, R. P., Breen, C., Kimber, J., & Davoli, M. (2009). Methadone maintenance therapy versus no opioid replacement therapy for opioid dependence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, *2009*(3). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002209.pub2
- Mattinson, K., & Hawthorne, J. (1996). Harm reduction: A realistic approach toward injection drug users. *Canadian Nurse*, *92*(2), 22-26.
- McCall, J. (1999). Emergency nursing care of injection drug users: A positive approach. *Nursing B.C.*, *3*(1), 16-19.
- McCracken, M., & Watson, G. (2004). *Women need safe, stable, affordable housing: A study of social housing, private rental housing and co-op housing in Winnipeg*. Retrieved from the Prairie Women's Health Centre of Excellence website: <http://www.pwhce.ca/safeHousing.htm>
- McInnes, C. W., Druyts, E., Harvard, S. S., Gilbert, M., Tyndall, M. W., Lima, V. D., . . . Hogg, R. S. (2009). HIV/AIDS in Vancouver, British Columbia: A growing epidemic. *Harm Reduction Journal*, *6*. doi:10.1186/1477-7517-6-5.
- McLaughlin, D., & Long, A. (1996). An extended literature review of health professionals' perceptions of illicit drugs and their clients who use them. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, *3*, 283-288.
- McLaughlin, D., McKenna, H., Leslie, J., Moore, K., & Robinson, J. (2006). Illicit drug users in Northern Ireland: Perceptions and experiences of health and social care professionals. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, *13*, 682-686. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2850.2006.01015.x
- McVicar, D., Moschion, J., & van Ours, J. C. (2015). From substance use to homelessness or vice versa? *Social Science and Medicine*, *136-137*, 89-98. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.05.005

- Mehrabadi, A., Craib, K. J., Patterson, K., Adam, W., Moniruzzaman, A., Ward-Burkitt, B., . . . Cedar Project Partnership. (2008). The cedar project: A comparison of HIV-related vulnerabilities amongst young Aboriginal women surviving drug use and sex work in two Canadian cities. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 19, 159-168. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2007.07.005
- Mental Health Commission of Canada. (2014). *National final report: Cross-site at home/chez soi project*. Retrieved from [http://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/sites/default/files/mhcc\\_at\\_home\\_report\\_national\\_cross-site\\_eng\\_2\\_0.pdf](http://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/sites/default/files/mhcc_at_home_report_national_cross-site_eng_2_0.pdf)
- Mexico legalizes drug possession. (2009, August 21). *Associated Press*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/08/21/world/americas/21mexico.html>
- Miller, C. L., Tyndall, M., Spittal, P., Li, K., Palepu, A., & Schechter, M. T. (2002). Risk-taking behaviors among injecting drug users who obtain syringes from pharmacies, fixed sites, and mobile van needle exchanges. *Journal of Urban Health*, 79, 257-265. doi:10.1093/jurban/79.2.257
- Miller, P. G. (2001). A critical review of the harm minimization ideology in Australia. *Critical Public Health*, 11, 167-178. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09581590110039865>
- Milloy, M.-J., Wood, E., Small, W., Tyndall, M., Lai, C., Montaner, J., & Kerr, T. (2008). Incarceration experiences in a cohort of active injection drug users. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 27, 693-699. doi:10.1080/09595230801956157
- Milloy, M.-J. S., Kerr, T., Tyndall, M., Montaner, J., & Wood, E. (2008). Estimated drug overdose deaths averted by North America's first medically-supervised safer injection facility. *PLoS ONE*, 3, 1-7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003351
- Mistral, W., & Hollingworth, M. (2001). The supervised methadone and resettlement team nurse: An effective approach with opiate-dependent, homeless people. *International Nursing Review*, 48, 122-128.
- Moxham, R. (2003). *Tea: Addiction, exploitation, and empire*. New York: Carroll and Graf.
- Napravnik, S., Royce, R., Walter, E., & Lim, W. (2000). HIV-1 infected women and prenatal care utilization: Barriers and facilitators. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 14, 411-420. doi:10.1089/108729100416623
- Nasaw, D. (2009, February 24). Could marijuana tax help shore up California's finances? *Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/world/deadlineusa/2009/feb/24/california-marijuana-legalisation-legislation>
- National Advisory Council on Prescription Drug Misuse. (2013). *First do no harm: Responding to Canada's prescription drug crisis*. Retrieved from the Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction website: <http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/Canada-Strategy-Prescription-Drug-Misuse-Report-en.pdf>
- National Collaborating Centre for Infectious Diseases. (2008). *Evidence review: Primary HIV prevention interventions in prisons and upon release*. Retrieved from <http://librarypdf.catie.ca/PDF/PCatie/24898.pdf>
- National Council on Alcoholism and Drug Dependence. (2015). *Alcohol, drugs and crime*. Retrieved from <https://www.ncadd.org/about-addiction/alcohol-drugs-and-crime>
- National Specialty Society for Community Medicine. (2009). *Supervised drug consumption sites and InSite program* [Position statement]. Retrieved from [http://nsscm.ca/Resources/Documents/ECAC%20Docs/NSSCMs\\_Position\\_on\\_Supervised\\_Consumption\\_Sites.pdf](http://nsscm.ca/Resources/Documents/ECAC%20Docs/NSSCMs_Position_on_Supervised_Consumption_Sites.pdf)
- Needle, R. H., Burrows, D., Friedman, S., Dorabjee, J., Touzé, G., Badrieva, L., . . . Latkin, C. (2004). *Evidence for action: Effectiveness of community-based outreach in preventing HIV/AIDS among injecting drug users*. Retrieved from the World Health Organization website: [http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/prev\\_care/en/evidenceforactioncommunityfinal.pdf](http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/prev_care/en/evidenceforactioncommunityfinal.pdf)

- Needle, R. H., Burrows, D., Friedman, S. R., Dorabjee, J., Touzé, G., Badrieva, L., . . . Latkin, C. (2005). Effectiveness of community-based outreach in preventing HIV/AIDS among injecting drug users. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *16*(Suppl. 1), 45-57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2005.02.009>
- Norwood, C. W., Biviji-Sharma, R., Knotts, A., Omenka, I., Stone, C., & Purviance, D. (2015). Fighting prescription drug abuse through state policy: The role of nursing in successful implementation. *Journal of Addictions Nursing*, *26*, 203-207. doi:10.1097/JAN.0000000000000097
- Ontario HIV Treatment Network. (2014). *What is the effectiveness of supervised injection services?* (Rapid Review No. 83). Retrieved from <http://www.ohtn.on.ca/Pages/Knowledge-Exchange/Rapid-Responses/Documents/RR83-Supervised-Injection-Effectiveness.pdf>
- Oppenheimer, E., Tobutt, C., Taylor, C., & Andrew, T. (1994). Death and survival in a cohort of heroin addicts from London clinics: A 22-year follow-up study. *Addiction*, *89*, 1299-1308.
- Oviedo-Joekes, E., Brissette, S., Marsh, D. C., Lauzon, P., Guh, D., Anis, A., & Schechter, M. T. (2009). Diacetylmorphine versus methadone for the treatment of opioid addiction. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *361*, 777-786. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0810635
- Oviedo-Joekes, E., Guh, D., Brissette, S., Marchand, K., Marsh, D., Chettiar, J., . . . Schechter, M. T. (2010). Effectiveness of diacetylmorphine versus methadone for the treatment of opioid dependence in women. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *111*, 50-57. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.03.016
- Padgett, D. K. (2007). There's no place like (a) home: Ontological security among persons with serious mental illness in the United States. *Social Science and Medicine* *64*, 1925-1936. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.02.011
- Padgett, D. K., Gulcur, L., & Tsemberis, S. (2006). Housing First services for people who are homeless with co-occurring serious mental illness and substance abuse. *Research on Social Work Practice*, *16*, 74-83.
- Palmateer, N., Kimber, J., Hickman, M., Hutchinson, S., Rhodes, T., & Goldberg, D. (2010). Evidence for the effectiveness of sterile injecting equipment provision in preventing hepatitis C and human immunodeficiency virus transmission among injecting drug users: A review of reviews. *Addiction*, *105*, 844-859. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02888.x
- Pauly, B. (2008a). Harm reduction through a social justice lens. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *19*, 4-10. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2007.11.005
- Pauly, B. (2008b). Shifting moral values to enhance access to health care: Harm reduction as a context for ethical nursing practice. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *19*, 195-204. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2008.02.009
- Pauly, B. (2014). Close to the street: Nursing practice with people marginalized by homelessness and substance use. In M. Guirguis-Younger, R. McNeil, & S. W. Hwang (Eds.), *Homelessness and health in Canada* (pp. 211-232). Ottawa: University of Ottawa Press.
- Pauly, B., Goldstone, I., McCall, J., Gold, F., & Payne, S. (2007). The ethical, legal and social context of harm reduction. *Canadian Nurse*, *103*(8), 19-23.
- Pauly, B., Reist, D., Belle-Isle, L., & Schactman, C. (2013). Housing and harm reduction: What is the role of harm reduction in addressing homelessness? *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *24*, 284-290. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2013.03.008
- Pauly, B., Reist, D., Schactman, C., & Belle-Isle, L. (2011) *Housing and harm reduction: A policy framework for Greater Victoria*. Retrieved from the University of Victoria Centre for Addictions Research of B.C. website: <https://dspace.library.uvic.ca/bitstream/handle/1828/4791/Housing%20&%20Harm%20Reduction%20in%20Victoria%20January%202011.pdf?sequence=1>
- Payne, S. (2007). Caring not curing: Caring for pregnant women with problematic substance use in an acute-care setting. A multidisciplinary approach. In S. C. Boyd & L. Marcellus (Eds.), *With child: Substance use during pregnancy, a woman-centred approach* (pp. 56-69). Halifax: Fernwood Publishing.

- Pearce, M. E., Christian, W. M., Patterson, K., Norris, K., Moniruzzaman, A., Craib, K. J., . . . Cedar Project Partnership. (2008). The cedar project: Historical trauma, sexual abuse and HIV risk among young Aboriginal people who use injection and non-injection drugs in two Canadian cities. *Social Science and Medicine*, *66*, 2185-2194. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.03.034
- Pennbridge, J., Mackenzie, R. G., & Swofford, A. (1991). Risk profile of homeless pregnant adolescents and youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *12*, 534-538.
- Pernanen, K., Cousineau, M.-M., Brochu, S., & Sun, F. (2002). Proportions of crimes associated with alcohol and other drugs in Canada. Retrieved from the Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction website: <http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/ccsa-009105-2002.pdf>
- Perneger, T. V., Giner, F., del Rio, M., & Mino, A. (1998). Randomised trial of heroin maintenance programme for addicts who fail in conventional drug treatments. *British Medical Journal*, *317*, 13-18. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.317.7150.13>
- Petrar, S., Kerr, T., Tyndall, M. W., Zhang, R., Montaner, J. S. G., & Wood, E. (2007). Injection drug users' perceptions regarding use of a medically supervised safer injecting facility. *Addictive Behaviors*, *32*, 1088-1093. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2006.07.013
- PHS Community Services Society v. Attorney General of Canada, 2008 BCSC, 661.
- PHS Community Services Society v. Canada (Attorney General), 2010 BCCA, 15.
- Plaza, A., Oviedo-Joekes, E., & March, J. C. (2007). Nursing in an intravenous heroin prescription treatment. *Journal of Addictions Nursing*, *18*, 13-20.
- Podymow, T., Turnbull, J., Coyle, D., Yetisir, E., & Wells, G. (2006). Shelter-based managed alcohol administration to chronically homeless people addicted to alcohol. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *174*, 45-49. doi:10.1503/cmaj.1041350
- Potier, C., Lapr evote, V., Dubois-Arber, F., Cottencin, O., & Rolland, B. (2014). Supervised injection services: What has been demonstrated? A systematic literature review. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *145*, 48-68. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.10.012
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2006). *I-Track: Enhanced surveillance of risk behaviours among injecting drug users in Canada. Phase I report*. Retrieved from <http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/297355/publication.html>
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2007). *HIV/AIDS EPI updates, November 2007*. Retrieved from <http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/9.651003/publication.html>
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2008). *Epidemiology of acute hepatitis C infection in Canada: Results from the enhanced hepatitis strain surveillance system (EHSSS)*. Retrieved from [http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2011/aspc-phac/HP40-41-2010-eng.pdf](http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2011/aspc-phac/HP40-41-2010-eng.pdf)
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2009). *Summary: Estimates of HIV prevalence and incidence in Canada, 2008*. Retrieved from [http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2012/aspc-phac/HP37-16-2008-eng.pdf](http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2012/aspc-phac/HP37-16-2008-eng.pdf)
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2010). *Epidemiology of acute hepatitis C infection in Canada: Results from the enhanced hepatitis strain surveillance system (EHSSS)*. Retrieved from [http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2011/aspc-phac/HP40-41-2010-eng.pdf](http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2011/aspc-phac/HP40-41-2010-eng.pdf)
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2014a). *HIV/AIDS EPI updates, October 2014: Chapter 1 — National HIV prevalence and incidence estimates for 2011*. Retrieved from <http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/aids-sida/publication/epi/2010/1-eng.php>
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2014b). *Summary of key findings from I-Track phase 3 (2010-2012)*. Retrieved from <http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/aids-sida/publication/reports/i-track-phase-3/index-eng.php#overview>

- Rachlis, B. S., Kerr, T., Montaner, J. S. G., & Wood, E. (2009). Harm reduction in hospitals: Is it time? *Harm Reduction Journal*, 6. doi:10.1186/1477-7517-6-19
- Reforming international drug policy [Editorial]. (2016). *Lancet*, 387, 1347. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30115-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30115-5)
- Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2009). *Supporting clients on methadone maintenance treatment* [Best practice guideline]. Retrieved from <http://rnao.ca/bpg/guidelines/supporting-clients-methadone-maintenance-treatment>
- Rehm, J., Baliunas, D., Brochu, S., Fischer, B., Gnam, W., Patra, J., . . . Taylor, B. (2006). *The costs of substance abuse in Canada 2002, Highlights*. Retrieved from the Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction website: <http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/ccsa-011332-2006.pdf>
- Rhodes, T. (2002). The "risk environment": A framework for understanding and reducing drug-related harm. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 13, 85-94. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0955-3959\(02\)00007-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0955-3959(02)00007-5)
- Rhodes, T., Kimber, J., Small, W., Fitzgerald, J., Kerr, T., Hickman, M., & Holloway, G. (2006). Public injecting and the need for "safer environment interventions" in the reduction of drug-related harm. *Addiction*, 101, 1384-1393. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01556.x
- Rhodes, T., Singer, M., Bourgois, P., Friedman, S. R., & Strathdee, S. A. (2005). The social structural production of HIV risk among injecting drug users. *Social Science and Medicine*, 61, 1026-1044. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.12.024
- Riley, S. (2016). *Opioid overdoses in supportive housing facilities: How to keep people safe* (Master's thesis). Retrieved from [http://summit.sfu.ca/system/files/iritems1/16417/etd9531\\_SRiley.pdf](http://summit.sfu.ca/system/files/iritems1/16417/etd9531_SRiley.pdf)
- Riley, D., & O'Hare, P. (2000). Harm reduction: History, definition and practice. In J. Inciardi & L. D. Harrison (Eds.), *Harm reduction: National and international perspectives* (pp. 1-26). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Riley, E. D., Safaeian, M., Strathdee, S. A., Marx, M. A., Huettner, S., Beilenson, P., & Vlahov, D. (2000). Comparing new participants of a mobile versus a pharmacy-based needle exchange program. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 24, 57-61.
- Ritter, A., & Cameron, J. (2006). A review of the efficacy and effectiveness of harm reduction strategies for alcohol, tobacco and illicit drugs. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 25, 611-624. doi:10.1080/09595230600944529
- Royal Canadian Mounted Police. (2010). *Report on the illicit drug situation in Canada — 2009* (Cat. No. PS61-14/2009E-PDF). Retrieved from [http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2011/grc-rcmp/PS61-14-2009-eng.pdf](http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2011/grc-rcmp/PS61-14-2009-eng.pdf)
- Royal Society for the Arts. (2007). *Drugs: Facing facts. The report of the RSA commission on illicit drugs, communities and public policy*. Retrieved from <http://www.dldocs.stir.ac.uk/documents/rsareport.pdf>
- Ruiterman, T., & Biette, G. (1973). Street nurses in blue jeans. *Canadian Nurse*, 69(1), 34-37.
- Russell, C., Firestone, M., Kelly, L., Mushquash, C., & Fischer, B. (2016). Prescription opioid prescribing, use/misuse, harms and treatment among Aboriginal people in Canada: A narrative review of available data and indicators. *Rural and Remote Health*, 16, 1-14.
- Salmon, A. M., Thein, H.-H., Kimber, J., Kaldor, J. M., & Maher, L. (2007). Five years on: What are the community perceptions of drug-related public amenity following the establishment of the Sydney Medically Supervised Injecting Centre? *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 18, 46-53. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2006.11.010
- Seddon, T. (2006). Drugs, crime and social exclusion: Social context and social theory in British drugs-crime research. *British Journal of Criminology*, 46, 680-703. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azi079>
- Self, B., & Peters, H. (2005). Street outreach with no streets. *Canadian Nurse*, 101(1), 20-24.

- Shannon, K., Ishida, T., Lai, C., & Tyndall, M. W. (2006). The impact of unregulated single room occupancy hotels on the health status of illicit drug users in Vancouver. *International Journal of Drug Policy, 17*, 107-114.
- Shannon, K., Rusch, M., Morgan, R., Oleson, M., Kerr, T., & Tyndall, M. W. (2008). HIV and HCV prevalence and gender-specific risk profiles of crack cocaine smokers and dual users of injection drugs. *Substance Use and Misuse, 43*, 521-534. doi:10.1080/10826080701772355
- Sherman, S., & Purchase, D. (2001). Point Defiance: A case study of the United States' first public needle exchange in Tacoma, Washington. *International Journal of Drug Policy, 12*, 45-57.
- Skinner, N., Feather, N. T., Freeman, T., & Roche, A. (2007). Stigma and discrimination in health-care provision to drug users: The role of values, affect, and deservingness judgments. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 37*, 163-186. doi:10.1111/j.0021-9029.2007.00154.x
- Smadu, M. (2008, May 5). Letter to Hon. Tony Clement, P.C., M.P., Minister of Health, regarding InSite. Ottawa: Canadian Nurses Association.
- Small, D., Palepu, A., & Tyndall, M. W. (2006). The establishment of North America's first state sanctioned supervised injection facility: A case study in culture change. *International Journal of Drug Policy, 17*, 73-82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2005.08.004>
- Small, W., Wood, E., Jurgens, R., & Kerr, T. (2005). Injection drug use, HIV/AIDS and incarceration: Evidence from Vancouver injection drug users study. *HIV/AIDS Policy and Law Review, 10*(3), 1, 5-10.
- Snead, J., Downing, M., Lorvick, J., Garcia, B., Thawley, R., Kegeles, S., & Edlin, B. R. (2003). Secondary syringe exchange among injection drug users. *Journal of Urban Health, 80*, 330-348. doi:10.1093/jurban/jtg035
- Spittal, P. M., Hogg, R. S., Li, K., Craib, K. J., Recsky, M., Johnston, C., . . . Wood, E. (2006). Drastic elevations in mortality among female injection drug users in a Canadian setting. *AIDS Care, 18*, 101-108. doi:10.1080/09540120500159292
- Stajduhar, K. I., Poffenroth, L., Wong, E., Archibald, C. P., Sutherland, D., & Rekart, M. (2004). Missed opportunities: Injection drug use and HIV/AIDS in Victoria, Canada. *International Journal of Drug Policy, 15*, 171-181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2004.01.001>
- Statistics Canada. (2015). *Drug related offenses in Canada, 2013* (Catalogue No. 85-002-X). Retrieved from <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/85-002-x/2015001/article/14201-eng.htm>
- Stefancic, A., & Tsemberis, S. (2007). Housing First for long-term shelter dwellers with psychiatric disabilities in a suburban county: A four-year study of housing access and retention. *Journal of Primary Prevention, 28*, 265-279. doi:10.1007/s10935-007-0093-9
- Stein, J. A., Burden Leslie, M., & Nyamathi, A. (2002). Relative contributions of parent substance use and childhood maltreatment to chronic homelessness, depression, and substance abuse problems among homeless women: Mediating roles of self-esteem and abuse in adulthood. *Child Abuse and Neglect, 26*, 1011-1027.
- Stevens, A. (2007). When two dark figures collide: Evidence and discourse on drug-related crime. *Critical Social Policy, 27*, 77-99.
- Stoeber, H. (2002). Consumption rooms: A middle ground between health and public order concerns. *Journal of Drug Issues, 32*, 597-606.
- Strang, J., Metrebian, N., Lintzeris, N., Potts, L., Carnwath, T., Mayet, S., . . . Forzisi, L. (2010). Supervised injectable heroin or injectable methadone versus optimised oral methadone as treatment for chronic heroin addicts in England after persistent failure in orthodox treatment (RIOTT): A randomised trial. *Lancet, 375*, 1885-1895. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60349-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60349-2)



- Strathdee, S. A., Patrick, D. M., Currie, S. L., Cornelisse, P. G., Rekart, M. L., Montaner, J. S. G., . . . O'Shaughnessy, M. V. (1997). Needle exchange is not enough: Lessons from the Vancouver injecting drug use study. *AIDS, 11*, F59-F65.
- Strathdee, S. A., Ricketts, E. P., Huettner, S., Cornelius, L., Bishai, D., Havens, J. R., . . . Latkin, C. (2006). Facilitating entry into drug treatment among injection drug users referred from a needle exchange program: Results from a community-based behavioral intervention trial. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 83*, 225-232. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2005.11.015
- Strathdee, S., & Vlahov, D. (2001). The effectiveness of needle exchange programs: A review of the science and policy. *AIDS Science, 1*(16).
- Streatfield, D. (2001). *Cocaine: An unauthorized biography*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Strike, C. J., Challacombe, L., Myers, T., & Millson, M. (2002). Needle exchange programs: Delivery and access issues. *Canadian Journal of Public Health, 93*, 339-343.
- Strike, C., Leonard, L., Millson, M., Anstice, S., Berkeley, N., & Medd, E. (2006). *Ontario needle exchange programs: Best practice recommendations*. Toronto: Ontario Needle Exchange Coordinating Committee.
- Strike, C. J., Myers, T., & Millson, M. (2004). Finding a place for needle exchange programs. *Critical Public Health, 14*, 261-275. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09581590400004386>
- Symington, A. (2007). Ottawa: Crack pipe program cancelled by city council. *HIV/AIDS Policy and Law Review, 12*(2-3), 29-30.
- Takahashi, L. M. (1997). The socio-spatial stigmatization of homelessness and HIV/AIDS: Toward an explanation of the NIMBY syndrome. *Social Science and Medicine, 45*, 903-914.
- Tanner, Z., Matsukura, M., Ivkov, V., Amlani, A., & Buxton, J. A. (2014). *B.C. drug use epidemiology*. British Columbia drug overdose and alert partnership report. Retrieved from the BC DOAP website: <http://www.bccdc.ca/search?k=finaldoapreport2014.pdf>
- Tempalski, B., Flom, P. L., Friedman, S. R., Des Jarlais, D. C., Friedman, J. J., McKnight, C., & Friedman, R. (2007). Social and political factors predicting the presence of syringe exchange programs in 96 U.S. metropolitan areas. *American Journal of Public Health, 97*, 437-447. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2005.065961
- Tempalski, B., Friedman, R., Keem, M., Cooper, H., & Friedman, S. R. (2007). NIMBY localism and national inequitable exclusion alliances: The case of syringe exchange programs in the United States. *Geoforum, 38*, 1250-1263. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2007.03.012
- Thomas, G. (2005). Harm reduction policies and programs for persons involved in the criminal justice system. In *Harm reduction for special populations in Canada*. Retrieved from the Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction website: <http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/ccsa-003900-2005.pdf>
- Tortu, S., McMahon, J. M., Pouget, E. R., & Hamid, R. (2004). Sharing of noninjection drug-use implements as a risk factor for hepatitis C. *Substance Use and Misuse, 39*, 211-224.
- Tortu, S., Neaigus, A., McMahon, J., & Hagen, D. (2001). Hepatitis C among noninjecting drug users: A report. *Substance Use and Misuse, 36*, 523-534.
- Toward the Heart. (2017) Take Home Naloxone Program in B.C. [Infographic]. Retrieved from <https://infograph.venngage.com/publish/2245254a-ccaa-461b-87ec-ec97a4840525>
- Transform Drug Policy Foundation. (2005). *After the war on drugs: Options for control*. Bristol, U.K.: Author.
- Transform Drug Policy Foundation. (2009). *After the war on drugs: Blueprint for regulation*. Bristol, U.K.: Author.
- Transnational Institute. (2016). *UNGASS 2016: A broken or b-r-o-a-d consensus?* Retrieved from <https://www.tni.org/en/publication/ungass-2016-a-broken-or-b-r-o-a-d-consensus>

- Tsemberis, S., & Eisenberg, R. F. (2000). Pathways to housing: Supported housing for street-dwelling homeless individuals with psychiatric disabilities. *Psychiatric Services, 51*, 487-493. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.51.4.487
- Tsemberis, S., Gulcur, L., & Nakae, M. (2004). Housing first, consumer choice, and harm reduction for homeless individuals with a dual diagnosis. *American Journal of Public Health, 94*, 651-656.
- Tupper, K. W. (2008a). Drugs, discourses and education: A critical discourse analysis of a high school drug education text. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education, 29*, 223-238. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01596300801966864>
- Tupper, K. W. (2008b). Teaching teachers to just say "know": Reflections on drug education. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 24*, 356-367. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2007.08.007>
- Tyndall, M. W., Bruneau, J., Brogly, S., Spittal, P., O'Shaughnessy, M. V., & Schechter, M. T. (2002). Satellite needle distribution among injection drug users: Policy and practice in two Canadian cities. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 31*, 98-105.
- Tyndall, M. W., Kerr, T., Zhang, R., King, E., Montaner, J. S. G., & Wood, E. (2006). Attendance, drug use patterns, and referrals made from North America's first supervised injection facility. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 83*, 193-198. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2005.11.011
- United Nations. (1998, June 10). States pledge to significantly reduce demand for and supply of illicit drugs by 2008, as assembly concludes special session [Media release]. Retrieved from <http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/1998/19980610.ga9422.html>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2005). *World drug report 2005. Volume 1: Analysis*. Retrieved from <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/WDR-2005.html>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2008). *Annual report covering activities in 2007*. Retrieved from [http://www.unodc.org/pdf/annual\\_report\\_2007/AR06\\_fullreport.pdf](http://www.unodc.org/pdf/annual_report_2007/AR06_fullreport.pdf)
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2009). *Independent evaluation of Beyond 2008*. Retrieved from [https://www.unodc.org/documents/NGO/Beyond\\_2008\\_Evaluation\\_FINAL\\_August\\_2009.pdf](https://www.unodc.org/documents/NGO/Beyond_2008_Evaluation_FINAL_August_2009.pdf)
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2016). *World drug report 2016*. Retrieved from [http://www.unodc.org/doc/wdr2016/WORLD\\_DRUG\\_REPORT\\_2016\\_web.pdf](http://www.unodc.org/doc/wdr2016/WORLD_DRUG_REPORT_2016_web.pdf)
- van Beek, I. (2003). The Sydney Medically Supervised Injecting Centre: A clinical model. *Journal of Drug Issues, 33*, 625-638.
- van Beek, I. (2004). *In the eye of the needle: Diary of a medically supervised injecting centre*. Sydney, Australia: Allen and Unwin.
- van Beek, I., Kimber, J., Dakin, A., & Gilmour, S. (2004). The Sydney Medically Supervised Injecting Centre: Reducing harm associated with heroin overdose. *Critical Public Health, 14*, 391-406. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09581590400027528>
- van Boekel, L. C., Brouwers, E. P., van Weeghel, J., & Garretsen, H. F. (2013). Stigma among health professionals towards patients with substance use disorders and its consequences for healthcare delivery: Systematic review. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 131*, 26-35. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.02.018
- van den Brink, W., Hendriks, V. M., Blanken, P., Koeter, M. W. J., van Zwieten, B. J., & van Ree, J. M. (2003). Medical prescription of heroin to treatment resistant heroin addicts: Two randomised controlled trials. *British Medical Journal, 327*, 310-312. doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7410.310
- van der Poel, A., Barendregt, C., & van de Mheen, D. (2003). Drug consumption rooms in Rotterdam: An explorative description. *European Addiction Research, 9*, 94-100.
- Vancouver Coastal Health. (2016). Supervised injection user statistics. Retrieved from <http://www.vch.ca/your-health/health-topics/supervised-injection/user-statistics/>

- Vienna NGO Committee on Drugs. (2010). Beyond 2008 regional consultations. Retrieved from [http://www.vngoc.org/details.php?id\\_cat=8&id\\_cnt=33](http://www.vngoc.org/details.php?id_cat=8&id_cnt=33)
- Vlahov, D., Des Jarlais, D. C., Goosby, E., Hollinger, P. C., Lurie, P. G., Shriver, M. D., & Strathdee, S. A. (2001). Needle exchange programs for the prevention of human immunodeficiency virus infection: Epidemiology and policy. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 154(12, Suppl.), S70-S77.
- Werb, D., Kerr, T., Small, W., Li, K., Montaner, J., & Wood, E. (2008). HIV risks associated with incarceration among injection drug users: Implications for prison-based public health strategies. *Journal of Public Health*, 30, 126-132. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdn021
- Wilson, K., MacIntosh, J., & Getty, G. (2007). "Tapping a tie": Successful partnerships in managing addictions with methadone. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 28, 977-996. doi:10.1080/01612840701522176
- Wodak, A. (2009). Harm reduction is now the mainstream global drug policy. *Addiction*, 104, 340-346. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02440.x
- Wodak, A., & Cooney, A. (2005). Effectiveness of sterile needle and syringe programmes. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 16(Suppl. 1), S31-S44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2005.02.004>
- Wodak, A., & Cooney, A. (2006). Do needle syringe programs reduce HIV infection among injecting drug users: A comprehensive review of the international evidence. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 41, 777-813. doi:10.1080/10826080600669579
- Wood, E., Kerr, T., Small, W., Li, K., Marsh, D. C., Montaner, J. S. G., & Tyndall, M. W. (2004). Changes in public order after the opening of a medically supervised safer injecting facility for illicit injection drug users. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 171, 731-734. doi:10.1503/cmaj.1040774
- Wood, E., Kerr, T., Spittal, P. M., Tyndall M. W., O'Shaughnessy M. V., & Schechter, M. T. (2003). The health care and fiscal costs of the illicit drug use epidemic: The impact of conventional drug control strategies, and the potential of a comprehensive approach. *B.C. Medical Journal*, 45, 128-134.
- Wood, E., Montaner, J., & Kerr, T. (2005). HIV risks in incarcerated injection-drug users. *Lancet*, 366, 1834-1835. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)67737-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67737-9)
- Wood, E., Montaner, J. S. G., Li, K., Zhang, R., Barney, L., Strathdee, S. A., . . . Kerr, T. (2008). Burden of HIV infection among Aboriginal injection drug users in Vancouver, British Columbia. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98, 515-519. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2007.114595
- Wood, E., Spittal, P. M., Kerr, T., Small, W., Tyndall, M. W., O'Shaughnessy, M. V., & Schechter, M. T. (2003). Requiring help injecting as a risk factor for HIV infection in the Vancouver epidemic: Implications for HIV prevention. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 94, 355-359.
- Wood, E., Spittal, P. M., Small, W., Kerr, T., Li, K., Hogg, R. S., . . . Schechter, M. T. (2004). Displacement of Canada's largest public illicit drug market in response to a police crackdown. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 170, 1551-1556.
- Wood, E., Tyndall, M. W., Lai, C., Montaner, J. S. G., & Kerr, T. (2006). Impact of a medically supervised safer injecting facility on drug dealing and other drug-related crime. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 1, 1-4. doi:10.1186/1747-597X-1-13
- Wood, E., Tyndall, M. W., Montaner, J. S. G., & Kerr, T. (2006). Summary of findings from the evaluation of a pilot medically supervised safer injecting facility. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 175, 1399-1404. doi:10.1503/cmaj.060863
- Wood, E., Tyndall, M. W., Stoltz, J.-A., Small, W., Lloyd-Smith, E., Zhang, R., . . . Kerr, T. (2005). Factors associated with syringe sharing among users of a medically supervised safer injecting facility. *American Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 1, 50-54. doi:10.3844/ajidsp.2005.50.54

Wood, E., Tyndall, M. W., Stoltz, J.-A., Small, W., Zhang, R., O'Connell, J., . . . Kerr, T. (2005). Safer injecting education for HIV prevention within a medically supervised safer injecting facility. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 16, 281-284. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2005.07.004>

Wood, E., Tyndall, M. W., Zhang, R., Montaner, J. S. G., & Kerr, T. (2007). Rate of detoxification service use and its impact among a cohort of supervised injecting facility users. *Addiction*, 102, 916-919. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2007.01818.x

Wood, E., Tyndall, M. W., Zhang, R., Stoltz, J.-A., Lai, C., Montaner, J. S. G., & Kerr, T. (2006). Attendance at supervised injecting facilities and use of detoxification services. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 354, 2512-2514. doi:10.1056/NEJMc052939

Wood, R. A., Wood, E., Lai, C., Tyndall, M. W., Montaner, J. S. G., & Kerr, T. (2008). Nurse-delivered safer injection education among a cohort of injection drug users: Evidence from the evaluation of Vancouver's supervised injection facility. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 19, 183-188. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2008.01.003

Wood, R. A., Zettel, P., & Stewart, W. (2003). The Dr. Peter Centre: Harm reduction nursing. *Canadian Nurse*, 99(5), 20-24.

World Health Organization. (2015). WHO model lists of essential medicines. Retrieved from <http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/essentialmedicines/en/>

Young, M. M., & Jesseman, R. (2014). *The impact of substance use disorders on hospital use: Technical report*. Retrieved from the Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction website: <http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/CCSA-Substance-Use-Hospital-Impact-Report-2014-en.pdf>